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## Introduction from the president

Welcome to the 2014 European Fruit Juice Association (AIJN) Market Report - and a special welcome to those new to our world of juice. This report is designed to be a brief current snapshot of our industry. Our aim is quite simple - to offer insight and an overview of our industry to European and national legislators, non-governmental institutions and all fellow stakeholders or interested parties in food and drink - wherever they are around the globe.

Worldwide, the food and beverage industry is increasingly in the spotlight of consumer legislation and media attention. Our juice industry is no exception. Transparency, accountability, and clarity have always been central to the way our members run their businesses and create and improve their products. Consumers are confronted with a plethora of food and drink choices on a daily basis, and with media messages which can conflict and confuse. As a result, our industry now increasingly needs to present and market its products so consumers can appropriately choose to include fruit juices as part of their healthy diets and, hopefully, physically-active lifestyles.

In 2013, the AIJN, along with the SGF and IFU, hosted its first main JUICE SUMIMIT in Brussels - not for profit and organised for our industry by our industry. It was a resounding success-well attended by industry leaders and decision makers from all over the world. A resultant conclusion was a "wake-up call" for all of us to re-focus on the promotion of fruit juices - not just as individual companies but, as an industry as a whole. As this report confirms, average consumption of fruit juice and nectars has reached a plateau. In many mature markets it has declined markedly. Time for action.

During the Summit, there was much debate about how to deal with the increasing abundance of the so-called "negative press" which quickly circulates the world. Rather than attempt to respond to each media article as it emerges, it was determined that the AIJN should coordinate a Public Relations initiative. This campaign will highlight the positive aspects of fruit juice and the role it can play in a healthy lifestyle. In the USA the Juice Products Association has launched a similar campaign. We are not alone.

With the initial budget and encouraging support of Citrus BR to get started, the AIJN, with the help of marketing experts from our member companies, is preparing a professionally co-ordinated and sustained PR campaign. An initial three year commitment is envisaged. Additional co-funding will be needed from all levels in our supply chain as we roll out activities. Some actions are planned to kick-off this autumn. This initiative is exciting but overdue. A full update will be given at the JUICE SUMMIT 2014 in October.

Concurrently, we are continuing with two other key initiatives. Firstly, we continue to work with the FDOC and Brazilian Industry on a programme of research into health claims that can be made on citrus juices both in Europe and the USA. Secondly, we are also focussing on the Fruit Juice CSR Platform which has the objective to inspire and support juice companies to integrate corporate social responsibility in all stages in their supply chain no matter how large or small the business.

More information on the AIJN and our members can be found at the end of this report. We thank all of those who contribute their time to our Board and Committees. The AIJN and fellow EU National Associations are much under-valued assets for our members. With better funding and strategic commitment, I feel that all juice companies could achieve more by working together through their associations rather than individually. The moment to come together is now. Let's make this a priority. We look forward to welcoming you in Antwerp to the JUICE SUMMIT 2014 on October 15 and 16 - an efficient and unique forum to network and review, debate and shape the destiny of our important industry.


# The fruit juice industry: overall fruit juice consumption 

Fruit juice and nectars consumption in the EU stood at just over 10 billion litres in 2013. If Turkey, Norway and Switzerland are included the total rises to approaching 11 billion litres, buoyed by strong growth in Turkey's nectars market. 100\% juice accounted for approaching two-thirds of the EU fruit juice and nectars market total in 2013, equivalent to 6.5 billion litres.
On the global stage, the overall fruit juice and nectars market started to pick up momentum in 2013, recording a near 1\% increase, to 38.9 billion litres. Higher growth was curtailed by sharp falls in East and West Europe's key fruit juice and nectars markets, Russia and Germany, undermined by cautious consumer spending and changes in consumption behaviour. With Russia and Germany ranking within the top four fruit juice and nectars markets globally, a steep contraction in their sales is felt strongly.

## Trading conditions remain challenging

Trading conditions in 2013 remained difficult, in a climate of continuing economic instability across the region. Raw material and logistics price hikes served to push up average prices, leading many consumers to down-trade if the price points were too high for their budget. Overall EU fruit juice and nectars consumption declined by $4.2 \%$ in 2013. Few countries recorded any uplift in sales.

## Changes in consumer behaviour

Whilst juice and nectars are in tune with the health and wellness trend, producers are having to contend with changing patterns in

| EU: Total fruit juice and nectars |  |  | Population: 510.1 million |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | \% change |
| Total | 11,272 | 11,115 | 10,809 | 10,459 | 10,017 | -4.2\% |
| Private label | 4,875 | 4,800 | 4,680 | 4,555 | 4,302 | -5.6\% |
| Branded | 6,397 | 6,315 | 6,129 | 5,904 | 5,715 | -3.2\% |

Fruit juice ( $100 \%$ juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 7,230 | 7,191 | 7,018 | 6,780 | 6,470 | $-4.6 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Private label | 3,352 | 3,332 | 3,229 | 3,092 | 2,894 | $-6.4 \%$ |
| Branded | 3,877 | 3,858 | 3,789 | 3,688 | 3,576 | $-3.0 \%$ |
| Chilled | 1,288 | 1,321 | 1,356 | 1,397 | 1,399 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Ambient | 5,942 | 5,870 | 5,662 | 5,383 | 5,072 | $-5.8 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 5,670 | 5,590 | 5,302 | 5,008 | 4,668 | $-6.8 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 1,560 | 1,601 | 1,716 | 1,773 | 1,803 | $1.7 \%$ |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 4,042 | 3,924 | 3,791 | 3,678 | 3,547 | $-3.6 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Private label | 1,522 | 1,468 | 1,451 | 1,463 | 1,408 | $-3.7 \%$ |
| Branded | 2,520 | 2,457 | 2,340 | 2,216 | 2,139 | $-3.4 \%$ |

consumption behaviour. Perception of the 'naturalness' and functional benefits of juice consumption is increasing, but spending constraints have resulted in many consumers seeking out the most affordable option - be it a discounted juice brand, a Private Label juice or a nectar. Nectars have benefited in some countries from down-trading from $100 \%$ juice; price point taking precedence over juice content. Consumption occasions are also changing. Breakfast, which was once considered the most important meal of the day, in countries such as Germany and the UK, has fallen out of favour eroding what is a key consumption occasion for juice.

## Quality vs quantity

A key trend in many of the major fruit juice and nectars markets has been a shift to consuming less in quantity, but better in quality. As a result volume and share of the chilled and NFC (not from concentrate) segments has been boosted at the expense of ambient, and continues to perform ahead of the overall fruit juice and nectars market. Many consumers appear to be prepared to pay for the 'naturalness' and functionality of a premium juice, whether it be for health reasons or indulgence. In addition, a high level of discounting in many markets has narrowed the price differential between chilled and ambient product, encouraging consumers to trade up to chilled and NFC juices. The increasing demand for quality over quantity is prompting many producers to invest in development of functional and value-added chilled juices targeted at specific consumer groups.

Largest EU FJN market by volume consumption, 2013

| Country | Million <br> litres |
| :--- | ---: |
| Germany | 2,486 |
| France | 1,620 |
| United Kingdom | 1,277 |
| Spain | 985 |
| Italy | 726 |
| Others | 2,923 |
| Total | 10,017 |

Largest EU FJN market by per capita consumption, 2013

| Country | Population <br> (million) | Litres per <br> person |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Germany | 81.1 | 30.7 |
| Finland | 5.3 | 28.3 |
| Netherlands | 16.8 | 27.8 |
| Austria | 8.5 | 25.0 |
| France | 66.0 | 24.6 |

Largest FJN markets by volume consumption by region, 2013

| Region | Million <br> litres |
| :--- | ---: |
| North America | 9,742 |
| West Europe | 9,163 |
| Asia Pacific | 8,151 |
| East Europe | 4,921 |
| Africa \& Mid East | 3,514 |
| Latin America | 3,490 |
| Total | 38,980 |
| EU 28 | 10,017 |

Largest FJN markets by per capita consumption by region, 2013

| Country | Population <br> (million) | Litres per <br> person |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| North America | 351.2 | 27.7 |
| Asia Pacific | $3,994.3$ | 22.7 |
| West Europe | 417.1 | 22.0 |
| EU28 | 510.1 | 19.6 |
| East Europe | 401.0 | 12.3 |
| Africa \& Mid East | $1,309.2$ | 7.5 |
| Latin America | 572.4 | 6.1 |




EU fruit juice and nectars by channel


## European industry trends and segmentation

2013 saw the EU fruit juice and nectars market contract for a fifth consecutive year, with the rate of decline accelerating again to 4.2\%. Volatility in juice concentrate costs and the ensuing price pressures, along with still weak macroeconomic indicators and the stringent government austerity measures being meted out in many markets made for a tough trading environment. Nectars continued its recent track record of a 3-4\% drop, while fruit juice fell by 4.6\%.

## Segmentation and flavour profile

These overall results, however, disguise some healthy internal dynamics in the fruit juice and nectars market. The rising cost of from concentrate (FC) raw material has served to diminish the price differential with not from concentrate juice (NFC). As a result the market is seeing increasing polarisation in consumption trends, with NFC making gains at the expense of FC product. In 2013 EU NFC fruit juice registered a 1.7\% increase on 2012, vs a $6.8 \%$ fall in FC. Chilled juice also continued to outperform ambient, albeit less strongly than in 2011 and 2012, due to a reduction in promotional pricing activity.

Orange continues to head up the flavour profile of the combined fruit juice and nectars market, followed at a distance by flavour mixes. The share of flavour mixes is edging up in both the fruit juice and nectars categories. Producers and retailers are increasingly innovating with mixed fruits to respond to consumer demand for the functional benefits these combinations offer. Multi-flavours also allow the producer more room to manage raw material costs and differentiate their products from the competition. Apple ranks third overall, frequently benefitting from its local heritage, for example in markets such as Austria, Germany, France and Poland. The inclusion of peach and pineapple within the top five flavours is primarily due to the traditional propensity for these flavours on the Spanish market.

## Brands outperform Private Label

2013 saw a reversal in trend with the decline in Private Label exceeding the fruit juice/nectars market average, while brands
held up better. Private Label in many markets has found it harder to balance volume and the need to sustain margins with the hikes in juice concentrate prices than the brands. Promotional offers of branded products in modern retail at very competitive prices continued to be highly visible across the region, encouraging consumers to trade up to more premium product.

## Channel profile

The distribution profile for fruit juice and nectars is heavily weighted to at home consumption due to the role of modern retail. Approaching three-quarters of purchases are made via large modern retail outlets and discount stores. The share of fruit juice and nectars sold through on-premise has been eroded since the start of the economic downturn in 2008, by the severity of the fall in footfall in key Horeca markets such as Italy, Spain and the UK.

## Country Ranking

Over 70\% of the EU fruit juice and nectars market is represented by just five markets. Germany tops the ranking accounting for onequarter of consumption. France, the UK, Spain and Italy follow, together accounting for a further 46\%. In terms of per capita consumption, however, Germany remains the highest consuming market. If Norway is included, the ranking changes: Norway overtook Germany in 2012, driven by growing consumer interest in healthy beverages and significant innovation in chilled juices.


## A focus on EU sourcing

The world is now, reportedly, a smaller place. Physically it has the same dimensions, but technology and logistics have conspired to shrink it. It is now very possible to experience the sights, tastes and smells of the unfamiliar at the click of a mouse. Travel, once an indulgence of the few, has become the expectation of the many. And, if travel broadens the mind, it also tickles the taste buds and encourages each of us to try something new. Having done so, we relive our adventures through the foods we eat and the drinks we enjoy.

But the foods and drinks we consume can also reinforce our connection to our own homes and regions, to our own terroir. The quality and provenance of local ingredients and the output of our European neighbours resonate just as strongly with us as the exoticism of passionfruit from Ecuador, pineapples from Costa Rica or mangoes from India. Juice processors put the extraordinary and unusual within arm's reach. They also offer us the very best of what they find close at hand.

This industry is, therefore, as much about home-grown as it is about international stories. It is as accurate to define the fruit juice industry in terms of local sourcing, intra-EU trade and support for EU agriculture and farmers as it is to emphasise its trans-continental reach. Picked fresh, processed caringly and packed quickly there are many fruity ingredients that never take to the air or the high seas before being drunk in the households and restaurants and on the streets of Europe.

Take orange juice. Granted, in any given year, seven eighths of the orange juice consumed by EU citizens has been sourced from outside its borders. However, that still leaves regional supply of around 500 million litres, much of it the premium quality NFC for which demand is growing. In this category, EU sourced ingredients account for the greater part of consumption. 60\% of this comes from Spain, where the proceeds of agricultural cultivation sustain the economies of a number of regions, as vital a source of income today as in days gone by. Italy and Greece are also prominent, while Portuguese oranges are frequently processed in Spanish facilities.

The climate of the Southern EU may favour citrus fruits, but the North and Centre are home to many varieties of the Rosaceae - apples, pears, plums, strawberries and raspberries.

> Picked fresh, processed caringly and packed quickly, there are many fruity ingredients that never take to the air or the high seas before being drunk in the households and restaurants and on the streets of Europe.

Some of this genus is still more commonly found in the Southern EU - peaches, apricots and cherries. Vitaceae (grapes) while they are more prolific in the South can also be found continentwide. Sunshine is essential, yet as many fruit crops are grown in the North of the continent as in the South.

So just how much fruit is grown in the EU? If we take 16 crops, the answer is just over 74 million tonnes. This includes grapes grown for wine and all tomatoes. Removing these two takes the total down to around 34.4 million tonnes across 15 crops, with table grapes still included. Add in some imports and take away some exports and the total for these 15 crops sits at just shy of 35 million tonnes. Of course, most of this is consumed as fresh fruit - more than $80 \%$ - but this leaves more than 6 million tonnes available for further processing and of this a little over one quarter or 1.46 million tonnes is processed into juice. Tomato juice raises this total to nearer 1.6 million tonnes.

What are these $\mathbf{1 6}$ crops? Aside from tomatoes and grapes they are apples and pears, peaches (including nectarines) and apricots, cherries, strawberries, raspberries, blackcurrants and other berries and currants, lemons (including limes), grapefruit (including pomelos), oranges, other (easy peeler) citrus such as mandarins, tangerines and clementines and plums. So, around twenty or so varieties of fruit.

## Top production countries

Excluding tomatoes, Italy takes the largest share of output at an estimated $30 \%$, ahead of Spain at $23 \%$. Each produces all of the specified crops and they frequently rank as the top two. By virtue of its importance to the EU apple market, Poland ranks third with $10 \%$ of output ahead of France on $9 \%$ with Greece completing
Production countries

Fruit production (Unit is '000 tonnes except Liquid (Million Litres))

| 흔 흔 은 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { L } \\ & \text { E } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Apples/pears

| Apples | 11,100 | 750 | 7,200 | 1,500 | 3,150 | 400 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pears | 2,250 | 350 | 2,025 | 375 | 200 | 60 |

Stone fruits

| Peaches (inc Nectarines) | 3,710 | 40 | 3,195 | 375 | 180 | 45 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apricots | 520 | 30 | 415 | 45 | 90 | 30 |
| Cherries | 655 | 45 | 455 | 30 | 215 | 45 |
| Plums | 1,600 | 50 | 1,400 | 30 | 220 | 113 |

## Citrus fruits

| Lemon (inc Limes) | 1,370 | 400 | 1,400 | 100 | 270 | 15 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grapefruit (inc Pomelos) | 120 | 325 | 405 | 20 | 20 | 5 |
| Oranges | 6,600 | 700 | 5,600 | 400 | 1,300 | 500 |
| Other citrus <br> (Mands/Tangs/Clems) | 3,000 | 320 | 2,565 | 405 | 350 | 50 |

the Top 5 with an $8 \%$ share. Around $80 \%$ of EU production across these 15 crops (excluding tomatoes and including only table grapes) comes from these five countries. Romania (apples and plums), Germany (apples) and the Netherlands (apples and pears) take $5 \%, 4 \%$ and $3 \%$ respectively taking the Top 8 aggregate beyond $90 \%$.

## Around 80\% of EU production across these 15 crops comes from Italy, Spain, Poland, France and Greece.

For 14 of 15 varieties, Italy, Spain or Poland is the leading source, with Romania leading for plums. Italy is the leading source in stone fruits - peaches (and nectarines) and apricots as well as pears and table grapes. Spain leads for each citrus variety - lemons (and limes), oranges, grapefruit (and pomelos) and other citrus - as well as strawberries, while Poland leads for the raspberries, blackcurrants, cherries, berries and currants categories (except strawberries) as well as apples.

The UK is second-ranked for raspberries and blackcurrants, Germany third-ranked for strawberries and blackcurrants. Scandinavia is the second-most important source of other berries and currants, Belgium the third-ranked source of pears.

## Poland leads apple production

The biggest harvest, aside from grapes (for wine) and tomatoes, is for apples, which is estimated to be around 11.1 mln tonnes in 2013. Adding in imports takes availability closer to 12 million tonnes, of which around $60 \%$ is consumed within the EU as
fresh fruit. Another $13 \%$ is exported, leaving more than 3 mln tonnes for processing. The market for NFC single-strength apple juice in Europe is thought to total around 400 min litres, Germany accounting for around two thirds. This total does not include another 400,000 tonnes of apple juice concentrate (AJC) which is made annually in Europe and accounts for much of the remainder of the harvest made available for processing. The leading producers of apples are Poland (29\%), Italy (19\%), Spain (14\%) and Germany (10\%).

Poland has long been a primary provider of AJC and has grown in importance as Chinese prices have risen and the quality of Polish AJC has become more widely known. EU AJC has a higher acidity, making it more versatile, not to say essential, for products with $100 \%$ or high apple juice content. Poland accounts for more than $50 \%$ of all AJC produced in the EU. Other important sources of AJC include Italy at around $12 \%$ to $13 \%$, Hungary (10\%), France and Spain at $7.5 \%$ each and Germany at about 4\%. Close to the borders of the EU, Turkey, Ukraine, Moldova and Russia produce around 230,000 tonnes of AJC, roughly equivalent to Polish output, per year.

## Italy leads pear production

Pears like apples have seen a significant proportion of output diverted to the cider category, which has recently grown strongly in a number of EU markets. The belief remains that ciders may also appeal to younger consumers in those Eastern European markets where beer consumption has long been the tradition. Increased production has also found its way into the juice and nectar category, commonly as a component in a blend rather than as a mono-flavour. It is a popular flavour in the Low Countries and pears are increasingly being used in salads, snacks and cooking.

The leading source markets are Italy (35\%) and Spain (19\%), but a top six completed by the Netherlands (12\%), Belgium (11\%), France and Portugal (7\% each) tales around 90\% of an

output of 2.25 mln tonnes annually, enhanced to 2.6 mln tonnes by imports. Well over three quarters of this is consumed fresh, around $14 \%$ is exported, leaving 200,000 tonnes and more available for processing in any given year. In 2013, an estimated 60 mln litres of pear juice was pressed, perhaps $30 \%$ then sold as single strength or purées.

## Stone Fruits production in EU

Peaches (and nectarines) are primarily grown in two markets. Italy is the global number two behind China, Spain ranks fourth behind the USA. Between them, these two markets account for more than three quarters of EU output, with Greece (13\%) and France ( $7 \%$ ) completing the regional Top 4. Amongst others can be found Hungary, Portugal and Bulgaria at around $1 \%$ each. In Italy and Spain, most peaches and nectarines are consumed fresh, while in Greece the 'clingstone' varieties are destined for processing.
The EU is a net exporter and imports only a fraction of the peaches (and nectarines) it consumes, of which $85 \%$ are eaten fresh. The crop is more vulnerable than others, with output more volatile, because most of the growing regions lie in a meteorological band prone to hail, which can severely damage the crop. With only around 180,000 tonnes available for processing in any one year, only around one half is processed into liquid and purées, almost all of which is concentrate.
Apricots are grown in a limited number of localities - Italy, France, Spain and Greece - and are particularly at the mercy of the vagaries of the weather. A 2013 estimate of 520,000 tonnes output is an average of the 2012 and 2013 seasons, with 2013 output down as much as $28 \%$ according to some estimates, falling more than $40 \%$ in Greece and by more than one third in Italy. Imports will have made up the difference to provide for domestic consumption of around 415,000 tonnes. Some output may well be exported, in spite of the supply issues, leaving less than 100,000 tonnes available for processing. Of this, roughly one third will be processed into liquid and purées.

Cherries are grown in many EU countries, from as far north
as Norway all the way down to Greece. Poland, Italy and Spain are the principal sources, Germany ranks fourth, with cherries also an important crop across South Eastern Europe, notably in Serbia, not yet an EU member. Sweet cherries are usually consumed fresh and are often considered seasonal, with sour cherries destined for processing, much of the output destined for the spirits industry. Production of around 650,000 tonnes rises to 700,000 with imports - chiefly from Turkey - with around two thirds consumed fresh and only $4 \%$ to $5 \%$ exported, leaving north of 200,000 tonnes available for processing. In any given year around one fifth becomes juice concentrate.

The triopoly of Italy, Spain and Poland is broken by Romania for the plum crop, with France second and Italy ranked third. As with cherries a significant proportion of that available for processing finds its way to the spirits industry, particularly in central and South Eastern Europe. 1.4 mln tonnes are consumed fresh out of a total of 1.65 mln tonnes (including minimal imports). Exports are small and plum juice, much of it in concentrate form, accounts for roughly half of all the output available for processing. In France, a significant proportion becomes prunes.

## Berries sourcing in EU

Strawberries are a perhaps surprisingly plentiful crop in the EU, with 1.1 mln tonnes grown and another 200,000 tonnes imported. $85 \%$ and more is consumed fresh. The leading sources are Spain (34\%) and Poland (16\%), but Germany is not far behind ( $15 \%$ ) and Italy accounts for another $14 \%$.
Strawberries are grown in season in almost all EU countries and consumed fresh in the summer months, with citizens not permitting too many to escape beyond the EU's borders - total exports are just 25,000 tonnes, around $2 \%$ of output.

Around $14 \%$ of output is available for processing, but only about $10 \%$ of this becomes liquid. Most goes into jams and preserves and baking.

Even fewer raspberries make it across the borders of the EU, just

5,000 tonnes or $3 \%$ of output is exported. 165,000 tonnes are harvested, more than half in Poland, around one eighth in the UK and half that in Spain. Raspberries and loganberries, while appearing delicate, are a crop capable of being grown farther North than many and Scotland and Scandinavia are significant growing regions.

Raspberries are one of the few varieties of fruit in which domestic consumption as fresh is not met by EU output and imports total around 55,000 tonnes. This leaves around 25,000 tonnes available for processing, much of which goes the way of strawberries into jams and preserves and baking, but a third or so, 8,000 tonnes, is made available as liquid, sold primarily as a concentrate to flavour blends. Some, of course, finds its way into mildly alcoholic crème de cassis and often accompanies champagne in a Kir Royal.

> With domestic consumption as fresh upwards of $80 \%$ of output, it follows that the fruit made available for further processing is commonly either a surplus or not of sufficient commercial quality.

Around 150,000 tonnes of blackcurrants are grown each year in the EU, roughly two thirds in Poland. With only half consumed fresh and one sixth exported, 60,000 tonnes are available for processing and nearly two thirds of these - the highest proportion for any fruit - are then processed into liquid concentrate.

Blackcurrant is a leading flavour choice in squashes and syrups, Ribena the flagship brand. All of its blackcurrants are sourced from a small number of growers in the UK. Although the brand has now changed hands, passing from GSK to Suntory, sourcing is not likely to reorient. With more than 150 varieties to choose from, many originating in Scotland, ten are used and the currants are usually pressed within 24 hours of being picked. For freshness, that's difficult to beat! Most are sourced in either Kent or Norfolk. For transport, tankers, yes, but with wheels rather than propellers.
As well as currants, many berries are also grown in the EU. A total is difficult to quantify, but it is thought to be in the order of 65,000 tonnes with another 20,000 tonnes imported and 10,000 tonnes exported. Of the 75,000 tonnes balance, two thirds is consumed fresh, with $50 \%$ of the remainder processed into liquid. Once again this is invariably used as a component flavour in blends bringing an element of sweetness or sourness, depending on the variety, to a wide range of juices and smoothies. Poland is again prominent, but Scandinavia is also renowned for its berries and currants, many used in cuisine, while these fruits are also popular in the UK.

## Citrus EU production

When it comes to the citrus crops, Spain is the leading grower of each. It takes about 50\% of orange, lemon and grapefruit output and two thirds of easy peelers (mandarins, tangerines and clementines). All told, about 11 mln tonnes of citrus is grown in the EU every year and more than 6 mln tonnes is harvested in Spain. $30 \%$ comes from

Italy and 11\% from Greece. About 250,000 tonnes is sourced in Portugal and the same volume comes from Cyprus.

Imports take total citrus fruit available to nearly 13 mln tonnes, of which nearly 10 mln tonnes is consumed fresh and approaching 1 mln tonnes is exported beyond the EU's borders, leaving just under 2 mln tonnes available for processing. Around 600 min litres is processed as liquid, primarily NFC, with all but about $12 \%$ of this orange juice.

## The vine fruits - grapes and tomatoes

Grapes are a confusing category. Table grapes are grown and Italy is a leading supplier ahead of Spain and Greece, taking $70 \%$ of EU output. This excludes all grapes intended for wine, which totals more than 24 mln tonnes in any one year. Domestic consumption is well in excess of output and so well over 500,000 tonnes of table grapes are imported and around 120,000 tonnes are exported, leaving the amount available for further processing at zero! However, grape juice concentrate and grape must (GJC) is produced by a number of specialists in Italy and Spain. Where does it come from? Well, for the most part, it comes from those 'ingredients' of the grape harvest not used in making wine. So there is a liquid concentrate demand, estimated at around 125 mln litres in any one year but it is, unusually, a by-product of the wider wine/grape industry rather than an intended use for the grapes that are grown.

Tomatoes are, after wine grapes, the second largest harvest in the EU and commonly see annual output approaching 16 mln tonnes of which around $43 \%$ is consumed fresh. So tomatoes, almost uniquely, are a crop primarily grown for processing - once again across the Mediterranean - although the great majority of those available for processing still find their way into cans, either diced, peeled or just skinned or as concentrated paste. Only around 100 mln litres or so end up as liquids.

## Consumers love it 'fresh'

This should also be borne in mind when thinking of the destiny of individual fruits and crops. With domestic consumption as fresh upwards of $80 \%$ of output, it follows that the fruit made available for further processing is commonly either a surplus or not of sufficient commercial (read aesthetic) quality. So it can be said that few crops are grown specifically for liquids/juice but all are welcomed in such form by consumers who are fully aware of the benefits of fruit being made available in all its forms.

The fruit juice industry is thus one of the leading users of the annual fruit bounty delivered by thousands of growers to consumers and industrial processors alike. It not only takes a significant proportion of what is available, but it also supports concentrate processing businesses in many EU countries, a significant number in the newer member states.

Increasingly, peaches from Piedmont, cherries from Extremadura and apricots from the Languedoc are to be found alongside the passionfruit from Ecuador, the pineapples from Costa Rica and the mangoes from India in exciting blends that take the best of near and far, support the wellness and enrich the imaginations of all the EU's many millions of citizens and consumers. Not so much multiculturalism as muli-cultivationism. The weather plays its part in determining good and not so good harvests but, rain or shine, juice gives a taste of a tropical or a weaker European sun all the year around, with no compromise on either flavour or naturality.

# Health aspects of juice consumption 

By Prof Dr Fred Brouns, Chair "Health Food Innovation", Faculty of Health, Medicine and Life Sciences, Dept of Human Biology, Maastricht University, The Netherlands and Vincent van Buul, MSc Health Food Innovation Management, School of Business and Economics, Dept of Marketing and Supply Chain Management, Maastricht University, The Netherlands

Fruit and vegetable juices provide a wide range of micronutrients that fit well in a healthy diet. However, they also contain intrinsic sugars. In recent years, sugars - those naturally occurring as well as added - have been under scrutiny with regard to their potential contribution to overweight and obesity, with beverages receiving significant focus, primarily when consumed in large quantities. The body of scientific evidence on this issue of beverages and overweight and obesity is inconsistent and contradictory. More work needs to be done in order to reach clear conclusions. However, survey data does demonstrate that consumers who drink 100\% fruit juice in moderation have healthier lifestyle habits and more positive health outcomes.

## Vitamins, minerals, and other beneficial micronutrients

Like whole fruits and vegetables, juices are rich in potassium, magnesium, folate, vitamin A and vitamin C. In a study which compared individuals who consumed juice ( $100 \%$ from fruit) to those who did not, it was found that juice consumers have a more adequate nutrient intake ${ }^{1}$. Juices also contain other bioavailable plant components, such as polyphenols; compounds that have strong antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activity. Even dietary fiber can be part of a juice. Due to its high vitamin $C$ levels, juice consumption contributes to the normal functioning of
the immune system and helps increase iron absorption. Overall, juices provide an easy-to-consume beverage with a broad variety of beneficial components, naturally derived from fruit, especially when compared to soft drinks.

## Juices and obesity

Despite the evidence that juices contribute to a healthy diet, some have suggested that the sugars in juice, especially fructose-containing sugars, play a causal role in the growing obesity and diabetes type 2 epidemics. Much like their whole fruit and vegetable counterparts, $100 \%$ juices contain similar concentrations of micronutrients and total carbohydrates, but the sugar content per portion is higher. The question remains, therefore, whether juice consumption does in fact affect individual weight and related chronic diseases ${ }^{2}$. Although some data suggests that sugar-sweetened beverages are associated with greater body weight, there is no data which links a role of juice consumption to weight gain. One reason may be that frequent 100\% juice consumers appear to have a healthier lifestyle, i.e. also consume more whole grain foods, exercise more and smoke less. A recent review indicates that overall energy intake and expenditure seems much more important to consider in terms of weight gain, than a particular macronutrient, food or beverage type ${ }^{3}$.

## Misconceptions about sugars in juices

Media reports about sugars in juices have labeled the sweet compounds as toxic. Basic principles of toxicology, however, advocate that overconsumption of any nutrient, even water, may prompt adverse effects to health. It is therefore important to understand at what dose sugars from juices cause harmful metabolic effects. In the US, 95\% of individuals consume less than $19.5 \%$ of fructose as part of their total energy intake ${ }^{4}$. In Europe this figure appears to be lower. Most studies showing adverse effects of pure fructose have been done with dosages substantially higher than this level. Moreover, in real life we very seldom consume pure fructose and many of the effects seen in "isolated fructose studies" are not being present when consumed together with glucose as present in sugar of HFCS ${ }^{3}$. Very recent findings give additional strong support for this observation. Tsilas et al (June 2014) ${ }^{10}$ presented data from a meta analysis of 7 prospective cohort studies in which they tested the hypothesis from ecological studies that fructose containing sugars (fructose, sucrose, HFCS) contribute to the etiology of type 2 diabetes. It was concluded that there is no such an effect. In addition, Cozma et al (June 2014) ${ }^{11}$ presented data from a systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled dietary trials showing that when fructose is isocalorically exchanged for another carbohydrate, the impairment seen with fructose supplementation in excess, hypercaloric diets, is likely more attributable to excess energy, than fructose.

## Finalizing considerations

A surplus of unbalanced reports on the topic of health aspects of juice consumption have appeared in the media. When looking at evidence regarding juice consumption, as described in recent peer-reviewed research papers, moderate juice consumption appears to be beneficial for health. While fruit juice does contain naturally occurring sugars, $100 \%$ fruit juice is also rich in nutrients and healthful compounds. Although scientific findings suggest that excessive caloric intake from any source, including sugar, can contribute to weight gain and related health outcomes, any
statement which says that the consumption of juices contributes to the global obesity epidemic is not supported by scientific consensus. The juice industry, however, should pay more attention to the nutritional aspects of consuming a regular, moderate quantity of juice. Fruit and vegetable juices can be considered as a means of encouraging adequate hydration along with water ${ }^{5}$.

Scientific data shows that 100\% juice contains no added sugars, and at present, no causal relationship between being overweight and juice consumption has been proven ${ }^{6,7}$. Drinking 100\% fruit juice is associated with a more nutritious diet overall, including a reduced intake of dietary fat, saturated fat and added sugars ${ }^{8,9}$.
${ }^{1}$ O’Neil CE, Nicklas TA, Zanovec M, Kleinman RE, Fulgoni VL. Fruit juice consumption is associated with improved nutrient adequacy in children and adolescents: the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2003-2006. Public health nutrition. 2012;15(10):1871-8.
${ }^{2}$ Xi B, Li S, Liu Z, Tian H, Yin X, Huai P, et al. Intake of Fruit Juice and Incidence of Type 2 Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. PloS one. 2014;9(3):e93471.
${ }^{3}$ van Buul VJ, Tappy L, Brouns FJ. Misconceptions about fructose-containing sugars and their role in the obesity epidemic. Nutrition research reviews. 2014:1-12.
${ }^{4}$ Vos MB, Kimmons JE, Gillespie C, Welsh J, Blanck HM. Dietary fructose consumption among US children and adults: the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Medscape J Med. 2008;10(7):160.
${ }^{5}$ WICProgram. Preventing childhood obesity - Limiting Juice Intake 2010. Available from: http://www.nal.usda.gov/wicworks/Sharing_Center/MD/2010/ 2Obesity/2dChildObesityLimitingJuice.pdf.
${ }^{6}$ O'Neil CE, Nicklas TA. A review of the relationship between 100\% fruit juice consumption and weight in children and adolescents. American Journal of Lifestyle Medicine. 2008;2(4):315-54.
Pereira MA, Fulgoni III VL. Consumption of 100\% fruit juice and risk of obesity and metabolic syndrome: findings from the national health and nutrition examination survey 1999-2004. Journal of the American College of Nutrition. 2010;29(6):625-9.
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${ }^{10}$ Tsilas C, I. Sievenpiper L, et al. et al. No relation between total sugars intake and incident diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis of cohorts. 2nd intl Symposium on Diabetes and Nutrition, June 25-27, DNSG, Reykjavik, Iceland. Book of abstracts, page 18; 0-02-12
${ }^{1}$ Cozma A. Sievenpiper L, et al. Effect of Fructose on Whole-Body Insulin Sensitivity: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Controlled Dietary Trials. 2nd intl Symposium on Diabetes and Nutrition, June 25-27, DNSG, Reykjavik, Iceland. Book of abstracts, page 18; 0-02-12

# Roll out of the fruit juice CSR platform 

The European Fruit Juice Industry, represented by AIJN, is committed to taking on the responsibility for ensuring that its operations positively impact society and the environment as a whole.

To enhance its activities in the field of sustainability, AIJN joined the Fruit Juice CSR Platform together with its partners (IDH - Sustainable Trade Initiative, UTZ Certified, Sociability and AZTI Tecnalia). The aim of the project is to inspire and support the European fruit juice industry to integrate corporate social responsibility (CSR) in its smaller as well as larger business.

The need for a sector-wide approach is becoming more and more apparent, as the European Commission strives to ensure that companies abide by its CSR strategy, which it believes is becoming increasingly important to the competitiveness of companies and to society as a whole. Due to this, the Fruit Juice CSR Platform was one of the few project proposals selected to receive funding from the European Commission.

The European Commission would like to see CSR applied in the daily operations of all companies. Poor performance in CSR not only carries negative effects on the environment and the workers involved, but reflects poorly on the image of the industry as a whole.

Leaders in the CSR field include Coca-Cola Hellenic, with initiatives such as the 2012 Danube Day, where the public in 11 countries was encouraged to get active with initiatives such as riverbank clean-up activities, a wetlands restoration project, and study tours of nature parks and wildlife reserves.

Innocent's sustainable sourcing approach is another great example of CSR in the fruit juice industry. Innocent sources from all types of farms, including ones from the Amazon rainforest area and has developed three principles that help in sourcing responsibly: initial due diligence concerning the ways in which fruits are grown and processed, compliance with ambitious and
stretching social and environmental standards, and purchasing solely from independently certified sources.

Hans Zipperle AG also has a rigorous CSR environmental policy in place. In order to reduce costs, but to include ecological considerations as well, they started disposing of organic residue from fruit processing by drying it and using it to generate energy.

Although CSR is most often associated with environmental awareness, it goes beyond that and also incorporates social and economic aspects. An example of CSR in a social sphere is AMC Group's work with several universities. AMC Group engages students with a technical profile in their last years at college as trainees. This gives the students valuable insight into the industry as well as an opportunity to start building their careers within the food industry.

Due to its commitment and belief in CSR, but also due to the significance which both the EU and the consumer place on it, AIJN will seek to continue working on the CSR Platform. It has already developed a CSR website (www.juicecsr.eu), created a monthly CSR newsletter for key contacts and stakeholders, and successfully organised a first set of best practice exchange workshops on CSR in the fruit juice sector in Poland and in Spain. Our goal is to enable and inspire CSR for all businesses across the sector regardless of size.

To date, members of the Fruit Juice CSR Platform are: CitrusBR, Coca-Cola, Refresco Gerber, Firmenich, Friesland Campina, AMC Group, Rynkeby and Tetra Pak. AIJN is always looking for additional participants who are interested in joining the platform. To get involved, please e-mail us at: hello@juicecsr.eu for further information.

[^1]
## Conclusions and Outlook

With economic recovery in Europe fragile, unemployment still rife and austerity policies remaining in play in many markets, the EU fruit juice and nectars market is forecast to contract by $2.9 \%$ in 2014. The rate of decline is expected to continue to decelerate through 2015, with the market stabilising by 2018. By 2019, the EU fruit juice and nectars market is predicted to be 9.4 billion litres. Over the intervening years to 2019, while Germany and Norway are forecast to maintain the highest per capita consumers, the strongest increases are expected in the Baltics, Croatia and Slovenia, fuelled by rising consumer disposable income levels and growing interest in health and well-being.

## Volume vs Value

Producers and retailers have been employing a range of strategies in order to negotiate the difficult choice between volume and margin growth. Rising commodity costs and logistical overheads are squeezing producer margins, but in a climate of still cautious consumer spending the management of consumer prices is paramount. Product reformulation, range rationalisation and pack downsizing whilst maintaining price point have all been witnessed. A high level of promotional pricing, although lower than 2012 as producers curbed activities in order to protect their margins, continued to characterise the fruit juice and nectars market in 2013. The main victims of these strategies were the B-brands, which found themselves under siege from brand discounting on one side and Private Label on the other.

After a return to value growth in 2012, both EU fruit juice and nectars volume and value fell in 2013. The drop in value was
however less pronounced than in volume, supported by the growing shift from ambient to chilled and NFC juice in many high volume markets.

Globally the EU represented just over one-quarter of juice and nectars consumption in 2013. By 2019, this share is expected to have shrunk to around one-fifth, as demand and population growth in emerging markets alters the global fruit juice and nectars vista. Consumption in Asia is expected to overtake the EU by 2017. In value terms, the EU represents $25 \%$ of global fruit juice and nectars, exceeded only by North America (34\%); a reflection of the higher price per litre in these regions.

## The 'natural' appeal...

The rising growth in chilled and NFC fruit juice and nectars underlines the growing propensity of consumers for 'natural' and high quality products - and the trend to premiumisation in higher volume markets. The fruit juice and nectars market offers

Total EU fruit juice and nectar consumption 1989-2019e


Juice and nectars - value share (total value 94.3 billion EUR)

significant opportunities for value growth through investment in development of value-added products with a targeted consumer positioning, emphasising their 'natural' and healthy functionality. Transparency of origin (organic, Fair Trade) and provenance are ticking the sustainability and ethics boxes, offering further potential for premiumisation. Increasing sophistication in consumer taste can be seen in the interest being generated by cold-pressed juices.

Flavour innovation is expected to continue to focus around flavour mixes. 2014 has already seen a plethora of dual and triple mixed flavours, featuring exotic or fruit/vegetable or berry components. Further activity in exploiting the 'naturally healthy' attributes of coconut water is also anticipated in 2014.

Juice and nectars - volume share (total volume 38.9 billion litres)


## ...offers promising growth prospects

2014 will undeniably bring its challenges in the form of continued volatility in juice concentrate prices, economic uncertainty still rife in many markets, and the ongoing sugar debate being thrashed out by governments and the media on the effects of natural and hidden sugars on health. But with ongoing investment in innovation and communication to raise awareness of the functional benefits of juice consumption, the future for fruit juice and nectars looks promising. Lifestyle changes including 'on the go' consumption and consumer nutritional requirements in countries with an aging population base, will continue to offer increasing potential for new juice blends and pack formats to address different drinking occasions and individual needs.

## Austria

## Population: $\mathbf{8 . 5}$ million



Juice consumption has been falling since 2007, largely due to the high price positioning of $100 \%$ juice products which has led lower income consumers, in particular, to reduce their consumption of packaged juice. The decline is however forecast to slow, with juice benefiting from the predicted rise in real wages. Whilst ambient FC accounts for the majority share, chilled NFC juice is expected to continue to gain share, boosted by growing consumer appreciation of 'natural goodness'. Sustainability is forecast to become increasingly important to consumers, leading to the growing use of organically produced local fruit or in the case of exotic fruits, fruit sourced from Fairtrade suppliers.

Nectars are on a long-term downward trajectory. Growing
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consumer health-consciousness and desire for 'natural, healthy' consumption is seeing nectars sales eroded by not only 100\% juice, but stevia sweetened soft drinks alternatives. Introduction of new flavour combinations will serve to mitigate the decline, but marketing investment is expected to remain comparatively low due to squeezed margins.

Smoothies are still very much in their infancy, but have been responsible for the recent growth in NFC juice. Although fruit smoothies are in line with the continuing health and wellness trend, development has been curtailed by their premium pricing in an uncertain economic climate.
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

## Total fruit juice and nectars

| Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | \% change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 250 | 242 | 233 | 229 | 211 | $-7.6 \%$ |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice |  | 161 | 160 | 155 | 153 | 143 | -6.3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Branded | 93 | 87 | 85 | 85 | 83 | -2.4\% |
|  | Private label | 68 | 73 | 70 | 68 | 60 | -11.2\% |
| Ambient |  | 144 | 143 | 139 | 136 | 127 | -6.6\% |
|  | Branded | 83 | 77 | 76 | 75 | 73 | -2.4\% |
|  | Private label | 61 | 66 | 63 | 61 | 54 | -11.9\% |
| From concentrate |  | 144 | 140 | 136 | 133 | 124 | -6.5\% |
|  | Branded | 83 | 74 | 73 | 72 | 71 | -2.0\% |
|  | Private label | 61 | 66 | 63 | 61 | 54 | -11.9\% |
| Not from concentrate |  | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | -11.7\% |
|  | Branded | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | -11.7\% |
| Chilled |  | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 16 | -3.6\% |
|  | Branded | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9 | -2.4\% |
|  | Private label | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | -5.2\% |
| Not from concentrate |  | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 16 | -3.6\% |
|  | Branded | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9 | -2.4\% |
|  | Private label | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | -5.2\% |
| of which are smoothies |  | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3.1\% |
|  | Branded | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3.1\% |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars |  | 89 | 83 | 78 | 76 | 68 | $-10.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Branded | 56 | 49 | 46 | 45 | 42 | $-6.5 \%$ |
|  | Private label | 32 | 33 | 32 | 31 | 26 | $-15.2 \%$ |
| Ambient |  | 89 | 83 | 78 | 76 | 68 | $-10.07 \%$ |
|  | Branded | 56 | 49 | 46 | 45 | 42 | $-6.5 \%$ |
|  | Private label | 32 | 33 | 32 | 31 | 26 | $-15.2 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 89 | 83 | 78 | 76 | 68 | $-10.1 \%$ |  |
|  | Branded | 56 | 49 | 46 | 45 | 42 | $-6.5 \%$ |
|  | Private label | 32 | 33 | 32 | 31 | 26 | $-15.2 \%$ |

## Belgium <br> Population: $\mathbf{1 1 . 1}$ million

NJuice is currently on a negative trend as consumers are sensitive to price points. There is little differentiation in the consumer's mind between juice and nectars and therefore price point tends to be the key to the purchase decision. Brands' being on offer has become such a habit that consumers are blasé towards price promotions, and Private Label is continuing to offer increasing competition to brands.

Chilled juice NFC products are likely to continue to perform ahead of ambient, particularly as Private Label lines are starting to pay more attention to this sub-segment due to its added value. The juice flavour profile is fairly conservative, with orange and apple accounting for three-quarters of consumption.


## Little distinction in perception

 of juice and nectars.Fruit juice and nectars by packaging
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Fruit juice and nectars by channel


The nectars category behaves in a very similar way to juice as the consumer and retailer alike do not really differentiate, with the exception maybe of Delhaize which offers a specific nectars' range. Nectars benefits from the fact that its price point is slightly lower compared to juice. Nectars are often single fruit flavours such as grapefruit, orange, mango, but flavour mixes are the best performing.

Smoothies remain a very niche concept, with few contenders on the marketplace.

## |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

## Total fruit juice and nectars

| Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | $\%$ change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 228 | 222 | 220 | 211 | 210 | $-0.5 \%$ |

## Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice |  | 191 | 186 | 185 | 176 | 175 | -0.5\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Branded | 81 | 75 | 73 | 66 | 66 | -0.8\% |
|  | Private label | 111 | 111 | 112 | 110 | 109 | -0.3\% |
| Ambient |  | 175 | 170 | 169 | 160 | 158 | -1.2\% |
|  | Branded | 69 | 64 | 61 | 55 | 54 | -2.3\% |
|  | Private label | 106 | 106 | 108 | 105 | 105 | -0.7\% |
| From concentrate |  | 175 | 170 | 169 | 160 | 158 | -1.2\% |
|  | Branded | 69 | 64 | 61 | 55 | 53 | -2.3\% |
|  | Private label | 106 | 106 | 108 | 105 | 105 | -0.7\% |
| Not from concentrate |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Chilled |  | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 6.9\% |
|  | Branded | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 6.6\% |
|  | Private label | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7.8\% |
| From concentrate |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0\% |
|  | Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| Not from concentrate |  | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 6.3\% |
|  | Branded | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 5.7\% |
|  | Private label | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7.8\% |
| of which are smoothies |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8.7\% |
|  | Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Private Label | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 37 | 36 | 35 | 35 | 35 | -0.5\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Branded | 24 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 0.6\% |
| Private label | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | -2.3\% |
| Ambient | 35 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 0.4\% |
| Branded | 23 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 2.1\% |
| Private label | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | -2.3\% |
| From concentrate | 35 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 0.4\% |
| Branded | 23 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 2.1\% |
| Private label | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | -2.3\% |
| Chilled | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -30.0\% |
| Branded | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -30.0\% |
| From concentrate | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -30.0\% |
| Branded | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -30.0\% |
| of which are smoothies | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -20.0\% |
| Branded | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -20.0\% |

# France 

## Population: 66 million

NIn 2013 the juice market shed nearly 3\% in volume due to the declining volumes of ambient from concentrate juice. The current state of the economy may have had some impact as generally consumers prefer to buy reduced amounts of juice but of high quality. Health, pureness and freshness are at the top of consumers' agenda when it comes to juice. Using fruit that is grown locally and without requiring further processing fits the trend of 'state of the art nutrition'. Premium juice is forecast to be the driving force in 2014, while shrinking FC and ambient volumes will continue to be a drag on the total category volumes. The long-term trend of households switching from FC and ambient juice to NFC and chilled juice is set to continue, unabated, in 2014 and beyond.

Nectars faced stiff competition from juice and still drinks in 2013. The sugar content of products and the forced price rise due to the sugar tax introduced in January 2012, gave nectars a poor image compared to juice and still drinks. Some producers are trying to reformulate by replacing sugar with fruit that has

Fruit juice and nectars by packaging


Fruit juice and nectars by flavours


Orange 48.8\%
Flavour mixes 21.6\%

- Apple 9.9\%

Pineapple 3.6\%
Grape 2.4\%

- Other 13.6\%

Fruit juice and nectars by channel

naturally occurring sugar or by using stevia, thus making them exempt from the sugar tax.

Smoothies remain a niche market. Demand dropped slightly in 2013 due to fact that smoothies are no longer 'new' and few innovations took place.

## 

| Total fruit juice and nectars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | \% change |
| Total | 1,667 | 1,693 | 1,713 | 1,681 | 1,620 | $-3.0 \%$ |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 1,270 | 1,298 | 1,328 | 1,314 | 1,280 | -2.1\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Branded | 563 | 577 | 592 | 583 | 586 | 0.8\% |
| Private label | 707 | 721 | 736 | 731 | 695 | -4.6\% |
| Ambient | 1,141 | 1,164 | 1,181 | 1,152 | 1,109 | -2.8\% |
| Branded | 466 | 480 | 490 | 471 | 466 | -0.0\% |
| Private label | 675 | 685 | 691 | 682 | 643 | -5.1\% |
| From concentrate | 620 | 603 | 573 | 544 | 508 | -6.7\% |
| Branded | 190 | 187 | 176 | 160 | 151 | -5.4\% |
| Private label | 431 | 417 | 397 | 385 | 357 | -7.2\% |
| Not from concentrate | 521 | 561 | 608 | 608 | 601 | -1.1\% |
| Branded | 277 | 293 | 314 | 311 | 315 | 1.4\% |
| Private label | 244 | 268 | 294 | 297 | 286 | -3.7\% |
| of which are smoothies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8.7\% |
| Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8.7\% |
| Chilled | 129 | 134 | 147 | 161 | 171 | 5.8\% |
| Branded | 96 | 97 | 102 | 112 | 119 | 6.1\% |
| Private label | 33 | 37 | 45 | 49 | 52 | 5.1\% |
| Not from concentrate | 129 | 134 | 147 | 161 | 171 | 5.8\% |
| Branded | 96 | 97 | 102 | 112 | 119 | 6.1\% |
| Private label | 33 | 37 | 45 | 49 | 52 | 5.1\% |
| of which are smoothies | 12 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 | -1.5\% |
| Branded | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | -9.2\% |
| Private label | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8.2\% |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 397 | 395 | 385 | 367 | 340 | -7.45\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Branded | 101 | 107 | 106 | 99 | 96 | -3.2\% |
| Private label | 296 | 288 | 279 | 268 | 244 | -9.0\% |
| Ambient | 379 | 376 | 367 | 346 | 316 | -8.6\% |
| Branded | 84 | 89 | 90 | 79 | 74 | -6.9\% |
| Private label | 295 | 287 | 277 | 267 | 242 | -9.1\% |
| From concentrate | 379 | 376 | 367 | 346 | 316 | -8.6\% |
| Branded | 84 | 89 | 90 | 79 | 74 | -6.9\% |
| Private label | 295 | 287 | 277 | 267 | 242 | -9.1\% |
| of which are smoothies | 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | -14.4\% |
| Branded | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | -22.2\% |
| Private label | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | -8.4\% |
| Chilled | 18 | 19 | 18 | 21 | 24 | 9.3\% |
| Branded | 17 | 18 | 17 | 20 | 22 | 12.0\% |
| Private label | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | -6.0\% |
| From concentrate | 18 | 19 | 18 | 21 | 23 | 9.0\% |
| Branded | 17 | 18 | 17 | 20 | 22 | 11.7\% |
| Private label | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | -6.0\% |
| Not from concentrate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 166.7\% |
| Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 166.7\% |
| of which are smoothies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 166.7\% |
| Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 166.7\% |

## Germany

## Population: $\mathbf{8 1 . 1}$ million



Germany is Europe's leading juice market and ranks second globally behind the USA. In per capita terms, Germany takes third place in Europe and fifth in the world. Germany's juice market is large and mature but has been in decline since 2003. As well as rising concentrate prices, changes in consumer behaviour are proving a challenge for the industry. Breakfast, which was once considered the most important meal of the day and a key consumption occasion for juice, has fallen out of favour. In addition, there is an ongoing trend to consumer preference for 'lighter style juice drinks', such as schorle (typically 50\% apple juice and 50\% mineral water). The juice market is characterised by a high level of aggressive price promotions fuelled by the activities of discounters.

Producers have been focusing on developing innovative products to mitigate the market decline, such as single-serve chilled NFC juice for 'on the go' consumption, juice with reduced fruit acid, or new flavour variants based on traditional local fruits to leverage the trend towards regional products.
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||l|
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Nectars used to benefit from their lower price point, but heavy discounting has eroded their advantage and sales have been in decline since 2008. Nectars are facing tough competition from perceived healthier products such as water-based soft drinks due to the health/wellness trend.

The niche smoothies segment is increasingly under pressure from chilled NFC juice offering the same health benefits, but at a lower price.

## 

## Total fruit juice and nectars

| Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | \% change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 2,865 | 2,810 | 2,733 | 2,607 | 2,486 | $-4.8 \%$ |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice |  | 1,944 | 1,915 | 1,900 | 1,824 | 1,747 | -4.38\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Branded | 989 | 978 | 964 | 935 | 910 | -3.7\% |
|  | Private label | 955 | 937 | 935 | 889 | 838 | -5.8\% |
| Ambient |  | 1,878 | 1,858 | 1,844 | 1,757 | 1,666 | -5.1\% |
|  | Branded | 931 | 928 | 915 | 874 | 835 | -4.6\% |
|  | Private label | 947 | 930 | 929 | 883 | 831 | -5.9\% |
| From concentrate |  | 1,633 | 1,643 | 1,622 | 1,533 | 1,442 | -5.5\% |
|  | Branded | 876 | 893 | 873 | 824 | 785 | -4.8\% |
|  | Private label | 758 | 750 | 748 | 709 | 657 | -7.3\% |
| Not from concentrate |  | 245 | 215 | 222 | 224 | 224 | 0.12\% |
|  | Branded | 56 | 35 | 41 | 50 | 50 | 0.7\% |
|  | Private label | 189 | 181 | 180 | 174 | 174 | -0.1\% |
| of which are smoothies |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Chilled |  | 66 | 57 | 56 | 67 | 81 | 21.4\% |
|  | Branded | 58 | 50 | 49 | 61 | 75 | 22.8\% |
|  | Private label | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6.8\% |
| From concentrate |  | 28 | 34 | 33 | 39 | 42 | 6.7\% |
|  | Branded | 28 | 34 | 33 | 39 | 42 | 6.7\% |
| Not from concentrate |  | 38 | 23 | 23 | 28 | 39 | 42.3\% |
|  | Branded | 29 | 17 | 16 | 21 | 33 | 52.4\% |
|  | Private label | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6.8\% |
| of which are smoothies |  | 13 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 31.0\% |
|  | Branded | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 74.0\% |
|  | Private label | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6.8\% |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 921 | 895 | 833 | 783 | 739 | -5.8\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Branded | 468 | 497 | 485 | 446 | 420 | -6.0\% |
| Private label | 452 | 398 | 348 | 338 | 319 | -5.6\% |
| Ambient | 914 | 889 | 828 | 778 | 734 | -5.7\% |
| Branded | 461 | 491 | 480 | 440 | 415 | -5.8\% |
| Private label | 452 | 398 | 348 | 338 | 319 | -5.6\% |
| From concentrate | 914 | 885 | 821 | 769 | 725 | -5.8\% |
| Branded | 461 | 486 | 473 | 431 | 406 | -5.9\% |
| Private label | 452 | 398 | 348 | 338 | 319 | -5.6\% |
| Not from concentrate | 0 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 7.9\% |
| Branded | 0 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 7.9\% |
| Chilled | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 4 | -27.1\% |
| Branded | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 4 | -27.1\% |
| From concentrate | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 4 | -27.1\% |
| Branded | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 4 | -27.1\% |
| of which are smoothies | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | -1.9\% |
| Branded | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | -1.9\% |

Italy
Population: $\mathbf{6 1 . 5}$ million

14The juice category continues to face difficulties due to the availability of fresh fruit, the exclusion of juice during main meals, and a limited use at breakfast. However, there are signs that premium quality brands, which appeal to the higher income consumer, are carving out a growing niche in the market. Consumers remain 'aspirational' and premium brands can still form a part of the consumer's repertoire, but only if they offer real added value compared to other more affordable product offerings. Educating and encouraging consumers to increase their consumption of fruit also offers an important development opportunity for packaged juice.

Nectars continues to suffer less from the negative macroeconomic climate than 100\% juice, due to its more affordable price positioning and wider spectrum of flavours. The category is also seeing further expansion of alternative flavours, blending 'wellness' with taste appeal, to broaden the consumer base and address different consumption occasions.

Although smoothies are in line with the health and wellness trend, the economic climate and premium pricing has dampened consumer interest. Smoothies would benefit from more effective category management in order to communicate better in-store the advantages of the segment, and justify the price premium compared to traditional juice to the consumer.

## ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

## Opportunities in development of value-added juice.

Fruit juice and nectars by packaging


Fruit juice and nectars by flavours


Fruit juice and nectars by channel


Take home 83.0\%
On premise 15.5\%
Impulse 1.4\%


Fruit juice ( $100 \%$ juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 174 | 172 | 165 | 155 | 141 | -8.7\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Branded | 125 | 118 | 112 | 102 | 90 | -11.7\% |
| Private label | 49 | 54 | 53 | 52 | 51 | -2.9\% |
| Ambient | 158 | 154 | 148 | 139 | 128 | -7.7\% |
| Branded | 114 | 106 | 102 | 93 | 83 | -10.7\% |
| Private label | 44 | 48 | 46 | 45 | 45 | -1.5\% |
| From concentrate | 158 | 154 | 148 | 139 | 127 | -8.3\% |
| Branded | 114 | 106 | 102 | 93 | 82 | -11.7\% |
| Private label | 44 | 48 | 46 | 45 | 45 | -1.5\% |
| Not from concentrate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0\% |
| Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0\% |
| of which are smoothies | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -15.9\% |
| Branded | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -15.7\% |
| Chilled | 17 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 13 | -17.4\% |
| Branded | 11 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 7 | -21.7\% |
| Private label | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | -11.8\% |
| From concentrate | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | -29.6\% |
| Branded | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | -29.6\% |
| Not from concentrate | 16 | 17 | 15 | 12 | 10 | -13.1\% |
| Branded | 10 | 10 | 9 | 5 | 4 | -15.0\% |
| Private label | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | -11.8\% |
| of which are smoothies | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | -33.4\% |
| Branded | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | -33.4\% |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars |  | 662 | 635 | 643 | 620 | 584 | $-5.69 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Branded | 502 | 474 | 448 | 407 | 373 | $-8.3 \%$ |
|  | Private label | 160 | 161 | 195 | 213 | 212 | $-0.7 \%$ |
| Ambient |  | 662 | 635 | 643 | 620 | 584 | $-5.7 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Branded | 502 | 474 | 448 | 407 | 373 | $-8.3 \%$ |
|  | Private label | 160 | 161 | 195 | 213 | 212 | $-0.7 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 662 | 635 | 643 | 620 | 584 | $-5.7 \%$ |  |
|  | Branded | 502 | 474 | 448 | 407 | 373 | $-8.3 \%$ |
|  | Private label | 160 | 161 | 195 | 213 | 212 | $-0.7 \%$ |

## Netherlands

## Population: $\mathbf{1 6 . 8}$ million



Per capita consumption of juice dropped to a little over 16 litres in 2013. Although juice is considered a healthy and natural product free from additives, polarisation is occurring. At one end of the scale, consumers are seeking out cheaper products in the form of Private Label ambient juice for large size family consumption. At the opposite end, consumer preference is for premium juice specifically for its freshness and health properties. With the economy recovering and interest in the health properties of premium juices increasing, the chilled and NFC segments are expected to grow.

Nectars has benefitted from consumers trading down from juice to a lower cost beverage with a similar flavour. The lower price point of nectars (under $€ 1$ ) has proved attractive. Private Label has |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

Oncreasing interest in

## premium chilled juice.

Fruit juice and nectars by packaging


Fruit juice and nectars by flavours


Fruit juice and nectars by channel

consistently gained volume and share over branded product. Flavour mixes account for the majority of nectars. In order to keep costs down, it is not unusual for producers to vary the type of fruits used in their flavour mix products, depending on the price and type of fruits available at the time of production.

Smoothies continue to enjoy steady growth as consumers are drawn to what they perceive as healthier drinks, as well as being convenient nourishment 'on the go' as a meal replacement.

## ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

| Total fruit juice and nectars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | \% change |
| Total | 460 | 466 | 474 | 490 | 468 | $-4.0 \%$ |

Fruit juice ( $100 \%$ juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 288 | 283 | 284 | 290 | 272 | -6.1\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Branded | 159 | 153 | 157 | 165 | 149 | -9.7\% |
| Private label | 130 | 130 | 127 | 125 | 123 | -1.4\% |
| Ambient | 224 | 217 | 208 | 203 | 185 | -9.0\% |
| Branded | 119 | 113 | 108 | 109 | 95 | -13.0\% |
| Private label | 105 | 104 | 100 | 94 | 90 | -4.2\% |
| From concentrate | 224 | 217 | 208 | 203 | 185 | -9.0\% |
| Branded | 119 | 113 | 108 | 109 | 95 | -13.0\% |
| Private label | 105 | 104 | 100 | 94 | 90 | -4.2\% |
| Chilled | 64 | 67 | 76 | 86 | 87 | 0.5\% |
| Branded | 40 | 40 | 50 | 56 | 54 | -3.2\% |
| Private label | 25 | 26 | 27 | 30 | 33 | 7.2\% |
| From concentrate | 24 | 27 | 31 | 33 | 31 | -4.6\% |
| Branded | 24 | 27 | 31 | 33 | 31 | -4.6\% |
| Not from concentrate | 40 | 40 | 46 | 54 | 55 | 3.6\% |
| Branded | 16 | 14 | 19 | 23 | 23 | -1.2\% |
| Private label | 25 | 26 | 27 | 30 | 33 | 7.2\% |
| of which are smoothies | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 20.8\% |
| Branded | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 20.8\% |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars |  | 172 | 183 | 190 | 200 | 195 | -1.8\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Branded | 75 | 84 | 89 | 91 | 86 | -3.9\% |
|  | Private label | 97 | 99 | 101 | 109 | 110 | 0.9\% |
| Ambient |  | 125 | 136 | 142 | 153 | 149 | -3.0\% |
|  | Branded | 41 | 49 | 52 | 56 | 51 | -8.4\% |
|  | Private label | 84 | 87 | 90 | 97 | 97 | 0.1\% |
| From Concentrate |  | 125 | 136 | 142 | 153 | 149 | -3.0\% |
|  | Branded | 41 | 49 | 52 | 56 | 51 | -8.9\% |
|  | Private label | 84 | 87 | 90 | 97 | 97 | 0.1\% |
| Chilled |  | 48 | 47 | 48 | 47 | 47 | -0.4\% |
|  | Branded | 35 | 36 | 36 | 35 | 34 | -1.4\% |
|  | Private label | 13 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 4.2\% |
| From concentrate |  | 48 | 47 | 48 | 47 | 47 | -0.4\% |
|  | Branded | 35 | 36 | 36 | 35 | 34 | -1.4\% |
|  | Private label | 13 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 4.2\% |

## Poland

## Population: $\mathbf{3 8 . 4}$ million



Juice per capita consumption remains the highest in East Europe, but increases in production costs due to rising imported concentrate and consequently retail prices have seen the market contract. Since 2005 per capita consumption has fallen by over 4 litres to 11 litres, as consumers have migrated to more affordable options such as low cost syrups, or lighter products such as packaged water and low calorie carbonates. The last few years, however, have seen a softening in the rate of volume decline, thanks to the development of NFC ambient and chilled juice by the major players, in response to the growing consumer interest in health/well-being.

The flavour offering for juice remains relatively conservative with

## |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

- NFC juice helping to mitigate decline.

Fruit juice and nectars by packaging


Fruit juice and nectars by flavours


Fruit juice and nectars by channel

carrot variants, orange and apple the main components.
Nectars, with a similar flavour to juice are an alternative to a wider group of consumers interested in healthy drinks due to the lower price point compared to juice. Blackcurrant and cherry nectars, which are not available as juice due to their sour nature, are popular. Whilst the category has been in decline since 2009, as the choice of other perceived healthy beverages expands, producers are trying to stimulate demand with new flavours and pack types. Orange, grapefruit, flavour mixes, apple and blackcurrant account for the majority of the flavour spectrum.

The smoothies segment remains niche, but is expected to continue its gradual development.

## ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

Total fruit juice and nectars

| Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | \% change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 810 | 810 | 723 | 695 | 673 | $-3.2 \%$ |

Fruit juice ( $100 \%$ juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 484 | 504 | 462 | 449 | 438 | -2.4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Branded | 420 | 434 | 400 | 391 | 382 | -2.4\% |
| Private label | 64 | 70 | 62 | 58 | 57 | -2.4\% |
| Ambient | 448 | 457 | 409 | 392 | 378 | -3.5\% |
| Branded | 388 | 391 | 351 | 338 | 326 | -3.5\% |
| Private label | 60 | 66 | 58 | 54 | 52 | -3.3\% |
| From concentrate | 444 | 453 | 405 | 383 | 365 | -4.9\% |
| Branded | 384 | 387 | 347 | 329 | 314 | -4.7\% |
| Private label | 60 | 66 | 58 | 54 | 51 | -6.3\% |
| Not from concentrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 61.9\% |
| Branded | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 42.9\% |
| Private label | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0\% |
| Chilled | 37 | 47 | 53 | 58 | 60 | 4.5\% |
| Branded | 33 | 43 | 49 | 54 | 56 | 4.1\% |
| Private label | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 10.0\% |
| From concentrate | 6 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 9 | -1.1\% |
| Branded | 6 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 9 | -1.1\% |
| Not from concentrate | 31 | 38 | 44 | 48 | 51 | 5.6\% |
| Branded | 27 | 34 | 40 | 44 | 46 | 5.2\% |
| Private label | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 10.0\% |
| of which are smoothies | 4 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | -2.6\% |
| Branded | 4 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | -2.6\% |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 326 | 306 | 261 | 246 | 235 | -4.7\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Branded | 221 | 202 | 160 | 148 | 142 | -4.4\% |
| Private label | 105 | 104 | 101 | 98 | 93 | -5.1\% |
| Ambient | 323 | 303 | 258 | 244 | 234 | -4.4\% |
| Branded | 218 | 199 | 157 | 146 | 141 | -3.9\% |
| Private label | 105 | 104 | 101 | 98 | 93 | -5.1\% |
| From concentrate | 323 | 303 | 258 | 244 | 234 | -4.4\% |
| Branded | 218 | 199 | 157 | 146 | 141 | -3.9\% |
| Private label | 105 | 104 | 101 | 98 | 93 | -5.1\% |
| of which are smoothies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Chilled | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | -42.1\% |
| Branded | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | -42.1\% |
| From concentrate | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | -42.1\% |
| Branded | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | -42.1\% |

## Spain

Population: $\mathbf{4 7 . 4}$ million



The severity of the economic downturn has hit the juice category hard since 2008, with volumes consistently falling year on year since 2009. Weak consumer spending power has seen chilled juice and on-premise consumption in particular eroded. Consumers have been shifting their buying patterns from juice to Private Label juice to nectars, depending on price at the time of purchase. Private Label is playing a key role in limiting category losses. Juice remains very much a mono-flavour category, with orange by far the flavour of choice.

Nectars benefit not only from its price point and broader range of flavours and innovation in blends than juice, but also its low calorie products and the milk-based segment targeted at the children's market. Milk-based nectars also compete successfully with flavoured milk. Recent innovation has focused on milk-based cereal nectars targeted at the school snack segment, as a sustaining mid-morning drink or after school recovery drink. Producers of non-dairy nectars also have the children's market in their sights as a strategy towards capturing
|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

## Fruit juice and nectars by packaging



Fruit juice and nectars by flavours


Fruit juice and nectars by channel

future core consumers. The low calorie/'no added sugar' segment accounts for around one-quarter of nectars consumption and has recently seen notable growth stimulated by stevia sweetened products.

Smoothies represent a tiny and dwindling segment. Current market conditions are not favourable for these premium priced products.
|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

\section*{Total fruit juice and nectars <br> | Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | \% change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 1,109 | 1,097 | 1,068 | 1,046 | 985 | $-4.27 \%$ |}

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice |  | 529 | 512 | 481 | 444 | 394 | -11.3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Branded | 287 | 282 | 256 | 233 | 216 | -7.18\% |
|  | Private label | 242 | 229 | 225 | 211 | 178 | -15.9\% |
| Ambient |  | 474 | 458 | 438 | 403 | 355 | -11.8\% |
|  | Branded | 260 | 256 | 239 | 217 | 202 | -7.1\% |
|  | Private label | 215 | 203 | 199 | 185 | 153 | -17.4\% |
| From concentrate |  | 431 | 398 | 371 | 335 | 287 | -14.5\% |
|  | Branded | 242 | 231 | 211 | 191 | 176 | -7.9\% |
|  | Private label | 189 | 167 | 160 | 144 | 111 | -23.2\% |
| Not from concentrate |  | 43 | 61 | 67 | 67 | 68 | 1.52\% |
|  | Branded | 18 | 25 | 29 | 26 | 26 | -1.04\% |
|  | Private label | 26 | 36 | 39 | 41 | 42 | 3.17\% |
| Chilled |  | 55 | 53 | 43 | 42 | 39 | -6.6\% |
|  | Branded | 27 | 26 | 17 | 16 | 14 | -8.7\% |
|  | Private label | 28 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 25 | -5.4\% |
| From concentrate |  | 31 | 29 | 19 | 18 | 18 | -4.8\% |
|  | Branded | 9 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -31.6\% |
|  | Private label | 22 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 17 | -3.9\% |
| Not from concentrate |  | 24 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 21 | -8.1\% |
|  | Branded | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | -7.8\% |
|  | Private label | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 | -8.6\% |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars |  | 580 | 585 | 587 | 601 | 591 | -1.7\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Branded | 373 | 384 | 374 | 372 | 359 | -3.4\% |
|  | Private label | 206 | 202 | 213 | 229 | 232 | 1.2\% |
| Ambient |  | 576 | 583 | 586 | 600 | 590 | -1.7\% |
|  | Branded | 371 | 382 | 373 | 371 | 359 | -3.4\% |
|  | Private label | 205 | 201 | 212 | 229 | 232 | 1.2\% |
| From concentrate |  | 576 | 582 | 585 | 600 | 590 | -1.7\% |
|  | Branded | 371 | 381 | 373 | 371 | 358 | -3.4\% |
|  | Private label | 205 | 201 | 212 | 229 | 232 | 1.2\% |
| Not from concentrate |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Chilled |  | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | -6.7\% |
|  | Branded | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2.5\% |
|  | Private label | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -24.8\% |
| From concentrate |  | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -6.7\% |
|  | Branded | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2.5\% |
|  | Private label | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -24.8\% |
| Not from concentrate |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| of which are smoothies |  | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -20.8\% |
|  | Branded | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -31.7\% |
|  | Private label | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4.7\% |

## Sweden

## Population: 9.6 million



2013 was another year of decline for juice. Many suspect that juice is increasingly perceived as on the wrong side of the health line in the eyes of the consumer. On a positive note, the Swedes may be drinking less juice but they are also drinking better quality juice and value is outperforming volume. Attractive pricing has enabled consumers to upgrade from the ambient segment, and in 2013 more than 7 in every 10 litres of juice sold in Sweden was chilled. In 2000, chilled products made up less than one-third of the market.

Nectars grew to prominence as a low cost alternative to juice and made rapid headway until 2004, when, like juice and still drinks, it suffered a downturn as a result of negative publicity over the sugar content. 2013 saw a small growth, principally due to the


## Chilled taking increasing share of throat.

Fruit juice and nectars by packaging


Fruit juice and nectars by flavours


Fruit juice and nectars by channel

popularity of a new ICA 200cl chilled HDPE bottle. Replicating the juice category, there is now a shift from ambient to chilled products in nectars.

The smoothie segment remains small and looks to have matured relatively quickly. A plethora of brands had been present in the market but gradually only a few seem to be making the grade in a competitive marketplace.

## 

| Total fruit juice and nectars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | \% change |
| Total | 254 | 257 | 252 | 243 | 236 | $-2.5 \%$ |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 199 | 201 | 197 | 190 | 183 | -3.75\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Branded | 145 | 148 | 155 | 150 | 144 | -3.7\% |
| Private label | 54 | 54 | 42 | 40 | 39 | -4.0\% |
| Ambient | 72 | 72 | 58 | 56 | 50 | -9.8\% |
| Branded | 30 | 30 | 28 | 27 | 26 | -4.4\% |
| Private label | 42 | 42 | 30 | 29 | 25 | -14.9\% |
| From concentrate | 71 | 71 | 58 | 55 | 49 | -10.3\% |
| Branded | 30 | 29 | 28 | 26 | 25 | -5.3\% |
| Private label | 42 | 42 | 30 | 29 | 25 | -14.9\% |
| Not from concentrate | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 25.0\% |
| Branded | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 25.0\% |
| of which are smoothies | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 25.0\% |
| Branded | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 25.0\% |
| Chilled | 127 | 129 | 139 | 134 | 133 | -1.2\% |
| Branded | 115 | 118 | 127 | 123 | 119 | -3.5\% |
| Private label | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 14 | 23.9\% |
| From concentrate | 99 | 102 | 112 | 107 | 106 | -1.4\% |
| Branded | 89 | 93 | 102 | 99 | 95 | -3.7\% |
| Private label | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 26.1\% |
| Not from concentrate | 28 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | -0.8\% |
| Branded | 26 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 24 | -2.8\% |
| Private Label | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 16.9\% |
| of which are smoothies | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | -8.2\% |
| Branded | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | -6.9\% |
| Private label | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -22.8\% |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars |  | 55 | 55 | 55 | 53 | 53 | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Branded | 37 | 37 | 39 | 38 | 38 | -0.8\% |
|  | Private label | 18 | 19 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 3.9\% |
| Ambient |  | 39 | 38 | 35 | 35 | 32 | -4.2\% |
|  | Branded | 20 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 1.4\% |
|  | Private label | 18 | 19 | 16 | 15 | 12 | -18.3\% |
| From concentrate |  | 39 | 38 | 35 | 35 | 32 | -4.2\% |
|  | Branded | 20 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 1.4\% |
|  | Private label | 18 | 19 | 16 | 15 | 12 | -18.3\% |
| of which are smoothies |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8.7\% |
|  | Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4.3\% |
|  | Private label | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8.9\% |
| Chilled |  | 16 | 17 | 20 | 19 | 21 | 6.2\% |
|  | Branded | 16 | 17 | 20 | 19 | 18 | -3.0\% |
|  | Private label | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.0\% |
| From concentrate |  | 16 | 17 | 20 | 19 | 21 | 6.2\% |
|  | Branded | 16 | 17 | 20 | 19 | 18 | -3.0\% |
|  | Private label | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.0\% |

## UK

Population: $\mathbf{6 3 . 4}$ million



Pricing pressures due to raw material price hikes and the economic environment have undermined the juice market, but it is the ambient segment which has taken the hardest hit. Promotional pricing activity by the brand producers lowered the price of chilled juice, resulting in consumers opting for chilled rather than ambient product as the price differential was so narrow. Producers, however, were not able to sustain the same level of pricing activity in 2013 due to commodity price increases, but the general trend remained one of consuming less, but better quality.

2014 is forecast to see a further 5\% decline, partly due to a continued slowdown in promotional pricing deals which will push up average prices, but also the ongoing sugar debate which is highlighting the negative effects of 'natural' and hidden sugars. In terms of flavour, orange and apple remain the UK's firm favourites accounting for over $80 \%$ of consumption.

The tough trading conditions in recent years have not left nectars unscathed, particularly due to a reliance on the
|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Fruit juice and nectars by packaging


Fruit juice and nectars by flavours


Fruit juice and nectars by channel

struggling on-premise sector. Nectars have benefitted from consumers switching from 100\% juice with the reduction in juice promotional price offers. Nectars are, however, likely to also come under further scrutiny regarding sugar content. From a flavour perspective, flavour mixes drive the nectars category.

The smoothies segment has seen volumes slide consistently since 2009. Growth tends to be associated with the activities of the leading brand.
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Total fruit juice and nectars

| Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | \% change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 1,410 | 1,405 | 1,369 | 1,329 | 1,277 | $-2.22 \%$ |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)


Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars |  | 198 | 193 | 189 | 185 | 198 | 7.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Branded | 180 | 175 | 169 | 163 | 177 | 8.4\% |
|  | Private label | 18 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 21 | -4.0\% |
| Ambient |  | 150 | 149 | 149 | 146 | 147 | 1.0\% |
|  | Branded | 149 | 146 | 145 | 140 | 141 | 0.8\% |
|  | Private label | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 5.6\% |
| From concentrate |  | 150 | 146 | 146 | 143 | 145 | 0.9\% |
|  | Branded | 149 | 144 | 142 | 137 | 138 | 0.7\% |
|  | Private label | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 5.6\% |
| Not from concentrate |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4.3\% |
|  | Branded | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4.3\% |
| of which are smoothies |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Chilled |  | 48 | 44 | 41 | 39 | 51 | 24.1\% |
|  | Branded | 31 | 29 | 25 | 23 | 37 | 37.9\% |
|  | Private label | 16 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 14 | -7.7\% |
| From concentrate |  | 48 | 44 | 41 | 38 | 37 | -0.6\% |
|  | Branded | 31 | 29 | 25 | 22 | 23 | 2.5\% |
|  | Private label | 16 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 14 | -7.7\% |
| Not from concentrate |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 1,050.3\% |
|  | Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 1,050.3\% |
| of which are smoothies |  | 18 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | -2.9\% |
|  | Branded | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0.0\% |
|  | Private label | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | -7.0\% |

## Bulgaria

Population: $\mathbf{7 . 0}$ million



Per capita consumption of juice has fallen in recent years to the 2 litres mark, as producers have shifted production towards nectars and still drinks for cost reasons. Competition within the category, however, is fierce with key producers investing substantially in marketing and distribution to support their premium lines. In the longer term the category is expected to stabilise and post a modest growth.
The decline in nectars deepened in 2013, despite significant marketing investment made by companies to negate the effect of fierce competition within the category. This resulted in a move towards lower cost still drinks as manufacturers reformulated and decreased the fruit content of some of their products to achieve greater profitability. Private Label held up better than branded aided by the growing penetration of discount chains.
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## Croatia

## Population: $\mathbf{4 . 5}$ million



Juice is 100\% ambient and almost all products are made from concentrate. The not-from-concentrate (NFC) segment is, however, starting to emerge. It seems that squeezed apple juice has opened the door to this segment as a number of brands started offering NFC flavours in 2013. Price promotions by the major local producers has supported category growth, despite the economic woes; a trend which is expected to continue in 2014, along with pack upsizing activity.

Nectars are a popular but highly brand disloyal category; consumers tend to opt for the best value-for-money products at the point of purchase. In such an environment, B-brands and Private Label have performed better than premium brands in recent years. All product is ambient.

| Total fruit juice and nectars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | \% change |
| Total | 89 | 72 | 68 | 67 | 63 | $-5.9 \%$ |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 20 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 14 | $-11.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Branded | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 20 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 12 | $-3.1 \%$ |
|  | Private label | 20 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 |
| Ambient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-10.7 \%$ |
| Chilled | 20 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 13 | $-10.9 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $-12.6 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 69 | 55 | 52 | 51 | 49 | $-4.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 61 | 47 | 44 | 42 | 40 | $-4.7 \%$ |
| Branded | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | $-2.6 \%$ |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | $5.01 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | $6.4 \%$ |
| Private label | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Ambient | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | $5.0 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 60 | 63 | 60 | 57 | 59 | $4.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 53 | 49 | 46 | 46 | 47 | $1.5 \%$ |
|  | 7 | 14 | 13 | 10 | 12 | $16.5 \%$ |

## Cyprus

## Population: 1.2 million



Macroeconomic challenges in the Cyprus economy resulted in a squeeze in consumers' disposable income and led to a national supermarket chains closing. As a result national juice producers lost a significant number of key accounts. The arrival of a multinational discounter on the island in 2013 compounded local processors' woes as the company's Private Label brands are sourced directly from the EU.

However, total juice and nectars production continued to increase as Cypriot processors are active in developing exports and co-packing agreements throughout the Balkans, Middle and Far East, resulting in local production being significantly higher than domestic demand in volume terms.

| Total fruit juice and nectars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | $\%$ change |
| Total | 32 | 32 | 31 | 32 | 30 | $-8.0 \%$ |

## Fruit juice ( $100 \%$ juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 22 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 19 | $-9.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 22 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 18 | $-14.3 \%$ |
|  | Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Private label | 21 | 21 | 19 | 20 | 18 | $-9.3 \%$ |
| Ambient | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $-2.8 \%$ |
| Chilled | 22 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 19 | $-9.0 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | $0.9 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | $-11.4 \%$ |
| Private label | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $100.0 \%$ |



Carton $74.8 \%$Glass 19.1\%
Plastic 4.6\%
Other $1.5 \%$


Take home 66.8\%

- On premise 31.2\% Impulse 1.9\%

Fruit juice and nectars by packaging


I Carton 91.4\%
Glass 5.6\%Plastic 1.8\%
Other 1.2\%

Fruit juice and nectars by flavours

Orange 27.9\%
Peach 6.3\%

- Flavour mixes - Apricot 3.7\% 24.9\%
Apple 23.2\%



| Flavour mixes | Pineapple 6.1\% |
| :--- | :--- |
| 34.2\% | Grapefruit 4.0\% |
| Orange 18.3\% | Others 20.5\% |
| Apple 16.9\% |  |



[^2]
## Czech Republic

## Population: $\mathbf{1 0 . 2}$ million

N
The economic situation has severely challenged the juice market in recent years, but 2013 saw a resurgence in momentum on the back of special offers on branded products. Private Label as a consequence was further undermined as consumers are now willing to buy good quality juice for the right price, rather than imported product, like Private Label, from undefined sources. The scenario is the same in nectars, where low priced brands and brand promotions are supporting sales growth.
Chilled product has only a marginal presence in both juice and nectars. Smoothies entered the market in 2008, progress has been hampered by the economic climate.

Total fruit juice and nectars

| Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | $\%$ change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 107 | 105 | 88 | 82 | 89 | $7.7 \%$ |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 70 | 70 | 54 | 49 | 51 | $5.8 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 36 | 36 | 32 | 32 | 37 | $15.5 \%$ |
|  | Branded | 34 | 34 | 22 | 17 | 15 |
|  | Private label | 70 | 70 | 54 | 48 | 50 |
| Ambient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $50.4 \%$ |
| Chilled | 69 | 70 | 54 | 48 | 48 | $0.6 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | $312.5 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 37 | 34 | 33 | 34 | 37 | $10.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Branded | 26 | 23 | 20 | 22 | 27 |
|  | 11 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 11 | $-8.6 \%$ |
| Private label |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Denmark

Population: $\mathbf{5 . 6}$ million

ifJuice consumption, per capita, is currently high at 20 litres with the market showing signs that consumers are drinking better quality but in lower volumes. The most influential development for juice in 2013 was the news that the soft drinks tax would initially be halved, in July, and abolished in January 2014. Inevitably, this will result in a surge in nectar products often positioned as low cost juices. Juice has historically been exempt from the soft drinks tax whereas now it will have to compete on an even playing field with nectars and still drinks which, until last year, were liable to the tax.

The smoothie concept is well-received and if the economic outlook improves so will demand. Pricing levels will, however, curtail volumes.

## Estonia

Population: 1.3 million

CondJuice consumption in 2013 increased after several years of steep decline, aided by a slight improvement in consumer purchasing power and stable juice concentrate prices. Consumption, however, is still well below pre-crisis levels. The current health and wellness trend is expected to return juice to a positive growth trend, with the fledgling chilled juice segment continuing to outperform ambient in growth terms.

Nectars conversely are continuing to see volumes contract year on year with consumers moving away from higher sugar content products. Producers are trying to counteract the trend with discounting activity and new flavour offerings.

| Total fruit juice and nectars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | \% change |
| Total | 122 | 119 | 114 | 111 | 111 | $-0.3 \%$ |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 121 | 119 | 113 | 110 | 110 | $-0.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Branded | 59 | 59 | 54 | 57 | 54 |
|  | 62 | 60 | 59 | 53 | 56 | $4.6 \%$ |
| Private label | 107 | 106 | 101 | 99 | 98 | $-1.2 \%$ |
| Ambient | 14 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 12 | $7.9 \%$ |
| Chilled | 112 | 111 | 105 | 102 | 101 | $-1.5 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | $16.0 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $-5.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Branded | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $-13.8 \%$ |
| Private label | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $25.0 \%$ |


| Total fruit juice and nectars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | \% change |
| Total | 34 | 32 | 29 | 27 | 26 | $-6.3 \%$ |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 14 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 12 | $3.84 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 10 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | $4.4 \%$ |
|  | Branded | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 12 | $3.6 \%$ |
| Arivate label | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $7.7 \%$ |
| Chilled | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | $1.7 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $34.4 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 19 | 18 | 17 | 15 | 14 | $-10.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 17 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 13 | $-10.2 \%$ |
|  | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $-14.0 \%$ |



Carton 82.4\%Glass 8.9\%
Plastic 4.7\%

- Other 3.9\%


Orange $1.8 \%$
Apple 21.8\% 12.9\%


Take home 61.9\%
On premise 37.4\%
Impulse 0.7\%

Fruit juice and nectars by packaging


Carton 92.0\%Plastic 6.1\%
Glass 1.5\%
Other 0.4\%

Fruit juice and nectars by flavours

Orange 37.9\%
Apple 29.4\%

- Flavour mixes 17.7\%

Fruit juice and nectars by channel


Take home 87.2\%

- On premise 8.8\% Impulse 4.0\%

Fruit juice and nectars by packaging


Carton $89.4 \%$Plastic 9.0\%
Glass 1.4\%
Other 0.2\%

Fruit juice and nectars by flavours


Fruit juice and nectars by channel

- Take home 85.1\%
On premise 10.5\% Impulse 4.4\%


## Finland

Population: $\mathbf{5 . 3}$ million

"hawWith per capita consumption of juice ranking amongst the highest in Europe, maintaining volume levels is difficult, especially with the pressures on consumer expenditure. Chilled juice has been gaining share from ambient, particularly in the large family-size packs, as chilled and NFC products have a better image concerning health and wellness.

The small nectars category, after a brief respite in 2012, continues to edge downwards. With the differences between juice and nectars blurred from a consumer perspective, there is unlikely to be any significant growth for nectars in the future, unless there is a change in producer strategy to highlight the differences.

## Greece

Population: $\mathbf{1 0 . 8}$ million
Since the onset of the economic crisis in 2008 juice has been in decline. Packaged juice does not constitute a necessity for consumers. Although it fulfils the need for healthy nutrition and is 'nice to have', in the stringent economic conditions, consumers cannot afford to buy a premium-priced product like juice with the same frequency as they may have before.

Moreover, consumers seem not to have been totally convinced about the benefits of juice against other lower cost alternatives.
Nectars are frequently consumed as a substitute for juice. Increasingly seen as a commodity, nectars are seeing losses mitigated to some extent by sustained promotional activity by the major producers.
|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

## Hungary

Population: $\mathbf{9 . 9}$ million
Over the last seven years juice has registered a fall in volume in every year, bar 2010, with the economic climate and falling purchasing power severely restricting demand. A 2\% increase in VAT in January 2012 and mid-year rise in local fruit prices raised production costs significantly. Consumers continue to be extremely pricesensitive, shifting from juice to more affordable alternatives such as squash/syrups. Nectars are also under the same pressures; since 2004 the category has seen some $40 \%$ of its volume evaporate. Traditional flavours - orange, peach, and apple continue to hold sway.
Smoothies are available via all modern trade channels, but volume is expected to remain negligible as their price per litre is 50-80\% higher than juice.

Total fruit juice and nectars

| Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | $\%$ change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 167 | 166 | 164 | 155 | 149 | $-3.9 \%$ |

Fruit juice ( $100 \%$ juice content)

| Total fruit juice |  | 147 | 145 | 144 | 135 | 129 | -4.3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Branded | 128 | 128 | 127 | 119 | 114 | -4.0\% |
|  | Private label | 19 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | -6.8\% |
| Ambient |  | 97 | 95 | 93 | 80 | 77 | -4.2\% |
| Chilled |  | 49 | 50 | 50 | 55 | 52 | -4.5\% |
| From concentrate |  | 133 | 132 | 130 | 123 | 119 | -3.5\% |
| Not from concentrate |  | 14 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 11 | -12.9\% |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 21 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | $-0.9 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 21 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | $-0.9 \%$ |
| Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-5.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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 as been in decline. Packaged juice does hot

Fruit juice and nectars by packaging
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Fruit juice and nectars by flavours
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- Take home 77.5\%
$\square$ On premise 15.9\% Impulse 6.9\%


## Ireland

Population: $\mathbf{4 . 8}$ million

13
Juice consumption had been growing up until the start of the economic downturn in 2008. Per capita consumption has dropped by nearly 6 litres over the intervening years to 8 litres, as consumers have switched to lower cost beverage alternatives such as packaged water. The ambient segment continues to suffer the most. Private Label brands are very competitive in the chilled segment and this has put pressure on ambient brands as the price differential has narrowed. Nectars are also suffering from the same pressures.

Orange remains by far the leading juice flavour. Apple has seen volume and share slippage due to higher prices, but mixed fruit products have made some ground. For nectars; berries, specifically cranberry, remain the key flavour of choice.

## Latvia

Population: $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ million


After six consecutive years of decline the juice market returned to growth in 2012. The fall in juice concentrates relieved the pressure on producers and suppliers, giving them more flexibility to play with prices. Strengthening consumer purchasing power, coupled with brand discounting saw continued growth in 2013.

Nectars, which benefitted from consumer down trading during the economic crisis, are now losing out to juice, as consumers prefer to stick to traditional beverage flavours (tomato, apple and orange), choosing them as juice rather than nectars. Nectars flavours tend to be preferred for cocktails, particularly ice cream cocktails.

Total fruit juice and nectars

| Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | $\%$ change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 65 | 58 | 52 | 48 | 45 | $-7.0 \%$ |

Fruit juice ( $100 \%$ juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 58 | 52 | 45 | 42 | 39 | $-7.12 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Branded | 32 | 29 | 29 | 26 | 26 |
|  | Private label | 26 | 23 | 17 | 15 | 13 |
|  | 39 | 33 | 26 | 22 | 20 | $-93.3 \%$ |
| Ambient | 19 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 19 | $-4.1 \%$ |
| Chilled | 46 | 40 | 33 | 28 | 26 | $-8.0 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 12 | 11 | 13 | 14 | 13 | $-5.4 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | $-5.8 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Branded | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | $-6.6 \%$ |
| Private label | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $1.8 \%$ |


| Total fruit juice and nectars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | \% change |
| Total | 31 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 29 | $0.6 \%$ |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 12 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 11 | $4.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | $5.0 \%$ |
|  | Branded | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | $-7.2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private label | 12 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 10 | $4.8 \%$ |
| Ambient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-22.6 \%$ |
| Chilled | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | $4.3 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-19.3 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 19 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 19 | $-1.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 17 | 15 | 18 | 18 | 17 | $-1.2 \%$ |
| Branded | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | $-1.0 \%$ |

## Lithuania

Population: $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ million


After seven years of consistent decline exacerbated by the economic environment, juice returned to growth in 2013. With the economy stabilising consumer spending has picked up, encouraged by strong branded discounting activity. Pre-crisis levels are not, however, expected to be attained for the foreseeable future due to ingrained consumer price-consciousness, along with the falling birth rate and high emigration.

Whilst nectars have also been hit by declining volumes since 2007, the category benefitted from consumers trading up from still drinks, attracted by a plethora of branded price promotion campaigns, new product launches and flavour mix extensions. The juice and nectars market is virtually entirely ambient, with chilled being too highly priced for the majority of the population.

## Total fruit juice and nectars

| Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | \% change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 44 | 41 | 40 | 39 | 40 | $2.8 \%$ |

## Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 16 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 14 | $0.95 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 14 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 12 | $1.3 \%$ |
|  | Branded | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | $-1.8 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private label | 16 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 14 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Ambient | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-71.4 \%$ |
| Chilled | 16 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 14 | $0.8 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $9.3 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 28 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 26 | $3.9 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 25 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 23 | $4.5 \%$ |
| Private label | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | $-1.1 \%$ |



Carton 83.9\%Plastic 11.4\%
Glass 3.7\%
Other $1.1 \%$


Take home 94.4\%

- On premise 5.6\%

Fruit juice and nectars by packaging

l Carton 92.3\%Plastic 3.7\%
Glass 3.6\%

- Other $0.3 \%$

Fruit juice and nectars by flavours
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Fruit juice and nectars by packaging


Fruit juice and nectars by flavours
Fruit juice and nectars by channel



Take home 88.7\%
On premise 11.4\%

Take home $90.1 \%$
On premise 9.9\%

## Luxembourg <br> Population: $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ million



Trends in the Luxembourg juice market tend to be similar to those in neighbouring Belgium. Consumers and retailers alike generally do not differentiate between juice and nectars. Price point tends to be the driver behind the purchase decision, despite consumer interest in well-being and healthy consumption. More marketing and promotional activity is needed to stimulate sales and progress the chilled juice and NFC segments. Orange is traditionally the primary flavour, followed by apple and mixed flavours.

The lack of a clear distinction with juice has served to curtail the development of nectars over the years. Flavour mixes remain the key flavour of choice.

## Malta

## Population: $\mathbf{0 . 4} \mathbf{4}$ million

$||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||\mid$
Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 9.0 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.6 | $-0.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Branded | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
|  | Private label | 5.0 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
|  | 8.0 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.6 | $-0.3 \%$ |
| Ambient | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | $6.9 \%$ |
| Chilled | 5.0 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | $-1.2 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.1 | $6.2 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 | $-0.8 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Branded | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | $0.6 \%$ |
|  | Private label | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |

$+\sim$The Maltese economy has remained relatively robust since 2009. Along with a relatively buoyant tourism market, this has maintained juice and nectars consumption levels. Juice accounts for the larger share of demand.

Local production remains confined to several small chilled producers, with even the main domestic brand still co-packed in Italy. The dominance of imports has led to a fragmented market focused on carton packaging.

The development of Private Label in recent years has been a key threat to imported manufacturers' brands, with a major European discounter establishing outlets on the island and pricing its Private Label 20-30\% below branded equivalents.

## Norway

Population: 4.7 million

"Norwegian propensity for healthy, natural beverages, has propelled juice per capita consumption to rank has propelled juice per capita consumption to rank
as the highest in the EU, overtaking Germany in 2012. However, the sugar debate is gaining ground and volumes
are likely to be impacted. Whilst ambient remains the largest 2012. However, the sugar debate is gaining ground and volum
are likely to be impacted. Whilst ambient remains the largest segment, it is the growth in chilled product that is driving the category. Competition between branded and Private Label is intensifying, with the latter now holding a $51 \%$ category share.

Nectars is heavily commoditised and dominated by Private Label, which to a certain extent compete with juice and lose out when price promotions are in place in the juice category. Nectars plays a minor and waning role, with volumes now less than half they were in 2002. nes

| Total fruit juice and nectars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | $\%$ change |
| Total | 155 | 153 | 153 | 154 | 150 | $-2.7 \%$ |

Fruit juice ( $100 \%$ juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 139 | 138 | 138 | 141 | 138 | $-2.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Branded | 91 | 84 | 84 | 72 | 67 |
|  | Private label | 48 | 54 | 54 | 69 | 71 |
| Ambient | 80 | 84 | 82 | 78 | 71 | $-9.9 \%$ |
| Chilled | 59 | 54 | 56 | 63 | 67 | $7.5 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 113 | 115 | 113 | 112 | 104 | $-7.4 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 26 | 23 | 25 | 29 | 34 | $18.3 \%$ |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | $-7.9 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | $-2.9 \%$ |
|  | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | $-10.3 \%$ |

Total fruit juice and nectars

| Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | \% change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 11.0 | 10.7 | 10.6 | 10.7 | 10.6 | $-0.6 \%$ |
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Take home 73.5\%
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On premise 13.7\%

Fruit juice and nectars by packaging


Fruit juice and nectars by flavours


[^6]

- Take home 82.0\%
$\square$ On premise 17.7\% Impulse 0.3\%


## Portugal

Population: $\mathbf{1 0 . 8}$ million


Consumers tend not to differentiate between juice and nectars; a perception that producers contribute to by putting both juice and nectars under the same umbrella brand. The economy has inevitably hit juice sales since 2009. With the increasing costs of raw materials producers have tended to support their nectars sales rather than juice.

Nectars far outweighs juice in volume terms and is forecast to continue to take share from juice, supported by its wider range of flavours and blends supporting different consumption occasions. The market for both juice and nectars remains heavily weighted to ambient due to cost.

Smoothies also remain niche due to premium pricing, but the outlook is one of steady moderate growth due to its added-value, nutritional image and convenience.

## $\|\mid\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|$

## Romania

## Population: $\mathbf{2 1 . 8}$ million

NAfter five years of declining volumes in an atmosphere of economic and political unrest, the outlook for juice looks more positive. Growth will, however, be at a limited rate in the medium to long-term, as juice is expensive for the majority of consumers. Private Label has become increasingly accepted and is it is expected that modern retailers will continue the winning strategy of cost-effective imports, thus sustaining consumption.

Nectars have forfeited nearly $60 \%$ of pre-crisis volume. Whilst the rate of decline has decelerated, improvement in consumer purchasing power is unlikely to see a return to growth in the shortterm, despite the much broader flavour offering than juice.

## $|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||\mid$

## Slovakia

Population: $\mathbf{5 . 5}$ million


Since 2006 per capita consumption of juice has nearly halved, as the economic crisis and drop in consumer disposable income have taken their toll and consumers have down-traded to lower juice content alternatives. The rate of decline is, however, easing, with special offers and discounted brands winning back consumers to the category. Looking forwards, chilled NFC juices offer the best opportunity for reviving the juice category, as products are viewed as an equally healthy alternative to water-based nutraceutical products.

While nectars have been party to the same influences, perception by some as highly calorific means health-conscious consumers are opting for healthier alternatives. Nectars are also suffering from a reliance on Horeca sales, where growing sales of beer and beer mixes have negatively impacted on premium nectars demand.

Total fruit juice and nectars

| Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | \% change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 114 | 116 | 105 | 102 | 104 | $4.4 \%$ |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 28 | 27 | 24 | 23 | 20 | $-11.73 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 14 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 8 | $-5.6 \%$ |
|  | Branded | 14 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 12 |
|  | Private label | 25 | 24 | 22 | 21 | 18 |
| Ambient | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | $-11.5 \%$ |
| Chilled | 25 | 24 | 22 | 21 | 18 | $-11.5 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | $-13.7 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 86 | 89 | 81 | 79 | 84 | $7.2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 63 | 63 | 56 | 52 | 56 | $8.4 \%$ |
|  | Branded | 23 | 26 | 25 | 27 | 28 |
|  | $2.7 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 27 | 24 | 22 | 21 | 21 | $-1.5 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Branded | 24 | 21 | 18 | 17 | 16 |
|  | Private label | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 61 | 44 | 37 | 35 | 33 | $-5.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 55 | 37 | 30 | 27 | 26 | $-6.0 \%$ |
| Private label | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 | $-2.4 \%$ |

## Fruit juice ( $100 \%$ juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 25 | 27 | 21 | 20 | 19 | $-5.47 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 14 | 14 | 10 | 9 | 7 | $-22.35 \%$ |
|  | Branded | 11 | 14 | 11 | 12 | 12 |
|  | Private label | 25 | 27 | 21 | 19 | 18 |
| Ambient | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $-7.81 \%$ |
| Chilled | 24 | 27 | 21 | 19 | 18 | $-7.84 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $44.44 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 22 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 15 | $-1.91 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Branded | 15 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 |
|  | 7 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | $-11.76 \%$ |



- Carton 69.8\%

Glass 15.3\%

- Plastic 14.8\%

Fruit juice and nectars by packaging
Fruit juice and nectars by flavours
Fruit juice and nectars by channel


Carton 71.5\%Glass 17.3\%

- Plastic 10.9\%

Other 0.3\%


Take home 83.7\%
On premise 13.0\% Impulse 3.3\%
|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

Fruit juice and nectars by packaging
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[^7]Fruit juice and nectars by channel


## Slovenia

Population: $\mathbf{2 . 0}$ million

3Juice had been registering steady year on year growth until 2013 when poor economic conditions sparked a contraction; albeit considerably lower than the soft drinks average. Despite it being a premium category, consumers perceive juice as an added-value healthy, natural beverage. Juice is continuing to take share from nectars. 2013 saw innovation with local organic fruit.

Nectars have been on a consistently declining trend since 2006, but the rate has accelerated in the last two years. Nectars are losing out to both juice and still drinks as they do not offer a distinctive benefit for the higher price at which they are marketed.

Smoothies, introduced in 2007 remain a tiny segment, with few brands on the market, but the highest sales registered in Private Label in the discounters.
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

## Switzerland

## Population: $\mathbf{8 . 0} \mathbf{0}$ million



Juice is a high volume market and sales have been gradually slipping back over the last few years. The small chilled segment continues to make inroads as consumer demand for high quality juice increases. Interest in organic and Fair Trade juices is contributing to the growth of the chilled segment. The polarisation between low cost versus premium product is putting pressure on B-brands.

Nectars are hampered not only by competition from juice, but also from still drinks and iced/rtd tea drinks, which are perceived as healthier. Orange dominates the flavour profile. Private Label plays a pivotal role heading up all the key flavour segments.

Total fruit juice and nectars

| Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | $\%$ change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 41 | 41 | 39 | 36 | 33 | $-9.4 \%$ |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice |  | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | -3.7\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Branded | 6 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | -7.1\% |
|  | Private label | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | -1.3\% |
| Ambient |  | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | -3.7\% |
| Chilled |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| From concentrate |  | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | -3.7\% |
| Not from concentrate |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 30 | 28 | 26 | 23 | 20 | $-12.8 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Branded | 18 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 11 |
|  | $-12.1 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private label | 12 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 8 | $-13.9 \%$ |


| Total fruit juice and nectars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | \% change |
| Total | 208 | 204 | 201 | 197 | 196 | $-0.66 \%$ |

Fruit juice ( $100 \%$ juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 158 | 155 | 153 | 150 | 150 | $-0.37 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Branded | 84 | 85 | 83 | 79 | 78 |
|  | Private label | 74 | 70 | 70 | 72 | 72 |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 50 | 49 | 49 | 47 | 46 | $-1.6 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Branded | 17 | 16 | 17 | 15 | 14 | $-3.7 \%$ |
| Private label | 32 | 33 | 32 | 32 | 32 | $-0.6 \%$ |

|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

## Turkey

Population: $\mathbf{7 5 . 8}$ million


Juice has been subject to fluctuating volumes in recent years primarily due to fruit concentrate costs. In 2012 the cost of sour cherry concentrate tripled.
The market is expected to pick up again in 2014 supported by the health trend and growing interest in the NFC segment. Chilled juice is also making headway, although consumer education regarding the distinction between chilled and ambient is needed to further grow the segment.

Nectars is by far the largest of the juice-based categories, and mainly consumed at home as a lower cost alternative to juice rather than as an accompaniment to meals in on-premise channels. The broader product range of nectars, compared to juice, is an important advantage for the category.

| Total fruit juice and nectars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume, million litres* | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | \% change |
| Total | 586 | 624 | 678 | 632 | 658 | $4.1 \%$ |

Fruit juice ( $100 \%$ juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 47 | 50 | 53 | 51 | 51 | $-1.1 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 44 | 46 | 48 | 47 | 46 | $-1.0 \%$ |
|  | Branded | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
|  | $-1.8 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private label | 45 | 47 | 50 | 47 | 46 | $-1.8 \%$ |
| Ambient | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | $6.6 \%$ |
| Chilled | 41 | 41 | 44 | 42 | 42 | $-0.2 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 7 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | $-4.9 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 538 | 574 | 625 | 581 | 607 | $4.5 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 482 | 507 | 544 | 505 | 528 | $4.7 \%$ |
|  | Branded | 56 | 67 | 80 | 76 | 79 |
| Private label |  |  |  |  |  | $3.5 \%$ |
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[^8]Fruit juice and nectars by flavours



Peach 33.6\%
Apricot 13.4\%
Flavour mixes 18.6\%

Cherry 16.7\%

Fruit juice and nectars by channel


- Take home 78.9\%
$\square$ On premise 21.0\% Impulse 0.1\%


## AlJN report methodology ©canadean

Within the beverage industry Canadean is recognised as the beverage information specialist and has been commissioned to produce the 2014 AIJN European Fruit Juice market report.

All data and analysis in the report have been produced using Canadean's in-depth beverage market database and our latest Annual Market Insight and Quarterly Beverage
Tracker analysis reports.
The key to our methodology is that we operate in partnership both with manufacturers and their suppliers, allowing our in-country experts to cross-examine and reconcile consumption volumes through the value chain.

Canadean's research is built from brand data upward. This 'brick-by-brick' approach, as well as the cross-fertilisation with other related services, ensures that our research has an internal logic, which cross-checks from all angles - from brand volume through to corporate volume, flavour segmentation, packaging splits and on- and off-premise channel distribution.

During Canadean's annual and quarterly research cycles,
leading producers in the fruit juice and allied industries are regularly contacted by our dedicated in-country researchers. This on-going dialogue and regular market observation ensures that our researchers are best placed to provide insightful value-added analysis of the juice and nectars industry worldwide.

All forecasts are compiled by Canadean from input from our researchers' trade interviews in each local market. This is then combined with an analysis of back data to create a longer range momentum forecast, weighted to the most recent period. Our EU forecasts remain cautious due to the continuing economic uncertainties within the region, but represent Canadean's latest view of the market.
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## Definitions

## Fruit juices and nectars

Juice: 100\% pure fruit juice or vegetable juice with no added ingredients, except permitted minerals and vitamins for the purpose of fortification and permitted additives. Includes products: from concentrate, not from concentrate, chilled, ambient, frozen concentrated juice, smoothies.
Excludes carbonated juice.
Nectars: $25-99 \%$ juice content. Diluted fruit/vegetable juice and pulp, to which sweetening agents (eg sugar, honey, syrups and/or sweeteners) need to be added for the purposes of production. Permitted minerals and vitamins for the purpose of fortification and permitted additives may be added. Whilst juice content is required to be equal to or in excess of $25 \%$ by volume, minimum juice content varies according to the fruit in question. Includes products: from concentrate, not from concentrate, chilled, ambient and smoothies.
Smoothies: Comprise blended fruit puree and juice drinks with a thick, smooth texture, sometimes incorporating a dairy element (yogurt) and/or functional elements (eg aloe vera, gingko, ginseng).
Both chilled and ambient products can be found. Includes packaged products and products made to order in on-premise outlets. Categorised under the juice and nectars categories, depending on juice content and/ or ingredients. Includes smoothies containing less than $50 \%$ dairy.
Not from concentrate (NFC): The fruit/vegetable is squeezed in the country of origin, lightly pasteurised and frozen or aseptically packed for shipment to where it will be sold.
From concentrate (FC): The fruit/vegetable is squeezed and concentrated through evaporation of natural water content by evaporators, before being frozen and shipped to the country for use for packaging. The product is then reconstituted to its original strength by addition of the same amount of water.
Freshly squeezed juice: Freshly squeezed fruit, not pasteurised, chilled with a shelf life of a few days.
Chilled juice: Relates to products that are distributed and sold via the chilled distribution chain. These products will be marketed and positioned as chilled products and will usually be perceived by consumers as
requiring chilling (although this may or may not be technically necessary). Ambient juice: Relates to products that are distributed and marketed via an ambient distribution chain. Note, these products may be placed in chillers at the point of sale, eg in convenience stores because consumers prefer to purchase a chilled beverage, but would not usually be perceived as needing to be chilled.
Flavour mixes: No single flavour is perceived to be dominant eg tropical mixes, red fruits, summer fruits, cocktail mix, multi-fruits.
Still drinks: Flavoured ready-to-drink, non-carbonated products, which may be fruit or non-fruit flavoured and have a juice content of 0-24.9\%. Sugar, artificial flavouring and colouring may be added.

## Industry terms

Take home / Off premise: Volume sold for 'subsequent consumption' away from the place of purchase, comprising: modern retail (eg supermarkets, hypermarkets, hard discount stores); traditional retail; specialist beverage retailers; home delivery.
Impulse: Convenience stores such as 7-11; petrol/gas station outlets; small food stores with long opening hours; kiosks; vending.
On premise: Volume sold for 'immediate consumption' at the place of purchase, comprising: QSR - quick-service restaurants; EDA places eating, drinking and accommodation; institutions; other on-premise eg cinemas, street stalls and kiosks, travel and transport, leisure (including gyms/health clubs etc) and events.
Horeca: Hotels, restaurants and catering outlets.
FJN: Fruit juice and nectars
Plastic: Refers to PET (polyethylene terephthalate); HDPE (high-density polyethylene) and polypropylene (PP) packaging.

Notes: Totals may not add due to rounding.
Blank/ 0.0 denotes data not available or volume less than 0.05 million litres or zero. $\mathrm{E}=$ estimate
Definitions are Canadean standard beverage category definitions.
Credits: Data: Canadean.
Designed and Printed in the UK by Progressive Media Group Plc using sustainable forest paper

## About AlJN

AIJN is the representative association of the fruit juice industry in the EU. It represents the industry from the fruit processors to the packers of the consumer products. AlJN's foundation goes back to 1958. The AIJN office is situated in the heart of the Brussels European quarter.

## Key AIJN aims and objectives

1. Represent the interests and promote the image of the European Fruit Juice Industry in all its contacts with EU Institutions and other relevant organizations and stakeholders;
2. Support and lobby European Union Institutions on all aspects of policy and legislation affecting the production, marketing, sales and trade in fruit juices and nectars in the EU;
3. Defend and promote juices as nutritious products which are an integral part of a healthy diet, through the AIJN PR Action Plan for Juices;
4. Encourage and support, through the Fruit Juice CSR Platform, juice companies to integrate corporate social responsibility in all stages in their supply chain;
5. Provide, in collaboration with the European Quality Control System (EQCS), mechanisms and instruments securing safe and authentic goods to maintain and increase consumer confidence in the good and healthy image of fruit and vegetable juices and nectars;
6. Inform and advise the fruit juice industry on all aspects of European legislation likely to affect their businesses;
7. Liaise with national, regional and worldwide interests representing the fruit juice industry to foster and achieve overall AlJN objectives.

## Membership

The AIJN membership is composed of national fruit juice associations from 16 EU Member States and from two countries that applied for EU membership. For more information on membership benefits, please visit www.aijn.org

## AIJN Executive Board

President, Andrew Biles, Industry Representative Refresco Gerber BV, UK
1st Vice-President, Jörgen DIRKSEN, CEO, Rynkeby Foods A/S, Denmark
2nd Vice-President, Angel SANCHEZ, Director General, Conserve Italia, Italy
Members, Marjan SKOTNICKI-HOOGLAND, Director Business Unit, FrieslandCampina Riedel B.V., Netherlands; Vincent DELOZIERE, General Manager, Refresco France, France; Thomas MERTENS, Managing Director Supply Chain, Wesergold Getränkeindustrie GmbH \& Co. KG, Germany; Piotr PODOBA, Sales Manager and Member of the Board, Alpex-Doehler, Poland; Wolfgang SCHWALD, General Manager Fruit, Processing \& Sales, Rauch Fruchtsäfte GmbH \& Co OG, Austria; Bruno VAN GOMPEL, Technical Director, Coca Cola Northwest Europe \& Nordics, Belgium, Helmuth BRANDSTAETTER, CEO Zipperle AG,Italy.

## National Associations Members of AlJN

Austria: Verband der Österreichischen Fruchtsaft - und Fruchtsirupindustrie
Belgium: AJUNEC
Cyprus: The Cyprus Canners \& Fruit Juice Manufacturers Association Denmark: Danish Fruit Juice and Jam Industries
Finland: Juice and Preserves Industries' Association
France: Union Nationale des Producteurs de Jus de Fruits (UNIJUS)
Germany: Verband der Deutschen Fruchtsaft-Industrie e.V.
Greece: Biofresh SA
Ireland: Mulrine and Sons Sales
Italy: A.I.I.P.A., Conserve Italia Scarl, Federvini
Netherlands: FWS
Poland: Polish Association of Juice Producers (KUPS)
Portugal: Sumol-Compal
Spain: ASOZUMOS, A.I.Z.C.E.
Sweden: Swedish Juice Association
UK: British Soft Drinks Association Ltd
Serbia: Serbian Fruit Juice Producers Association (SFJPA)
Turkey: Turkish Fruit Juice Industry Association (MEYED)

In addition, there is a long list of Observer members that are national associations from non-European countries, individual companies who are suppliers of raw materials, packaging materials, equipment, ingredients or processing aids to the EU fruit and vegetable juices industry and/or to associations representing these suppliers. Current Observer members:

| 8BERICAP | BÖCKER | BUCHER unipektin | Cft | CITRUS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Dm}=$ | DÖHLER | (3 ELOPAK | \%eurofins | Firmenich |
| OGGEATVIEW | Qsisr foodtech | KERRY | )( KRONES | novozymes |
|  |  | $(\text { PAWTRANS }) \text { ang }$ |  | REDCAM |
|  | SIG <br> SIG Combibloc | T.B. Fruit |  |  |
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[^1]:    "A renewed EU strategy 2011-14 for Corporate Social Responsibility", COM(2011) 681 final
    2 "The Coca-Cola Hellenic Magazine: Journey", Vol. 9, No. 2, August 2012
    ${ }^{3}$ Hans Zipperle AG, "Zipperle: Environmental Statement"

[^2]:    - Take home 75.9\% Impulse 6.1\%
    $\square$ On premise 18.2\%

[^3]:    - Orange 56.5\%Vegetable 2.0\%
    - Apple 21.8\%
    - Berries 0.1\%
    - Flavour mixes 19.6\%

[^4]:    - Carton 68.2\%Plastic 22.7\%
    Glass 5.9\%
    Other 3.1\%

[^5]:    - Orange 26.3\%

    Flavour mixes 11.0\%

    - Peach 15.7\%

    Pear 4.1\%
    Other 29.2\%

[^6]:    Orange 50.6\%
    Pineapple 1.3\%

    - Apple 23.3\%
    - Flavour mixes 3.7\%

[^7]:    - Orange 44.2\%
    - Apple 16.3\%
    - Flavour mixes 16.0\%

[^8]:    - Carton 84.8\%Other 10.0\%
    Glass 3.1\%Plastic 2.0\%

