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# Introduction from the President 

Welcome to the 2017 edition of the European Fruit Juice Association (AIJN) Market Report. The aim is to provide the European and national legislators, non-governmental organisations and fellow stakeholders in the food and drinks industry with a global and in-depth overview of the juice market.

As the new President of the AIJN, I would like to take this opportunity to express my gratitude to the national associations for trusting me to lead the European Fruit Juice Association. Your collaboration will be indispensable for helping us, my fellow Board members and I, in taking AIJN to the next level in forward thinking and enhancing its management capabilities.

For those of you who do not know me yet, I am from Portugal and currently hold the position of Strategic Director and Board Member of SUMOL+COMPAL's holding company. Since I joined the group in 1992, I have worked in various positions in marketing and sales both domestic and international. I hold a degree in Economics and a Bachelor of Business Administration from ISE and ISCTE University of Lisbon.

Last year was a very fruitful and active year for AIJN, covering the Juice CSR Platform, the Code of Practice and especially focusing strongly on the Fruit Juice Matters Programme (formerly known as the AIJN Juice Campaign). In this respect, I would like to thank the former president of the AIJN, Marjan Skotnicki-Hoogland, for her significant contribution provided to our association and to the juice industry. This is not a goodbye, as Marjan will stay on in the AIJN Board as 2nd Vice President.

The Fruit Juice Matters Programme continues to be at the forefront of this industry's communication strategy. The year 2016 was an important start in rebalancing a very one-sided conversation and in finding again an indispensable path of sustainable growth. The programme continues to be a voluntary funding effort coming from the European Bottling Juice industry along with CitrusBR members and other contributors from the packaging industry. Currently we are taking this joint endeavour one step further in emphasising the role of science in our industry. Given the strict regulatory compliance stemming from the Nutrition and Health Claims Regulation, the FJM programme needs to invest in researching and releasing scientific based evidence for better supporting the messages for its key stakeholders. The Scientific Expert Panel (SEP), a group of independent professors whose scientific reputation in food and nutrition is outstanding and highly recognized in the world, has been helping the FJM Programme to be effective in sharing the science and celebrating the benefits of juices.

> "The Fruit Juice Matters Programme continues to be at the forefront of this industry's communication strategy"

Two important pillars of the AIJN remain the Code of Practice and the Juice CSR Platform. The AIJN Code of Practice is a crucial tool for the industry and is now the worldwide reference guide for authenticity and quality of fruit and vegetable juices. In total, the Code of Practice includes 27 reference guidelines. The Juice CSR Platform aims to promote sustainability and integrate corporate social responsibility (CSR) in the core strategy of the business. More information on the Juice CSR Platform is provided within this report.

Aiming to provide a genuine and dynamic forum for all industry players and their worldwide suppliers, the Juice Summit, an event started in 2013, is now the leading annual global conference for fruit juice executives. Last year was once again acknowledged as a resoundingly successful event due to its record number of attendees.

This year we celebrate the 5th anniversary of the Juice Summit and AIJN, along with its partners IFU and SGF, is preparing a great event with high-profile speakers and fantastic venues. If you have not done so yet, please make sure to save the dates, 4-5 October (Antwerp, Belgium), in your calendars.

Have a great summer, and I look forward to welcoming you in Antwerp at the 2017 Juice Summit.


# The Fruit Juice Industry: Overall Fruit Juice Consumption 

| Total Fruit Juices and Nectars in EU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Year | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | $15-16$ Gr\% |  |
| Volume, million litres | 10,357 | 9,916 | 9,589 | 9,529 | 9,299 | $-2.4 \%$ |  |
| Total | Private label | 5,032 | 4,870 | 4,782 | 4,784 | 4,730 |  |
|  | Branded | 5,325 | 5,046 | 4,807 | 4,745 | 4,569 |  |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 6,748 | 6,442 | 6,175 | 6,109 | 6,008 | $-1.6 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Private label | 3,609 | 3,508 | 3,438 | 3,467 | 3,495 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Branded | 3,139 | 2,934 | 2,736 | 2,642 | 2,514 | $-4.9 \%$ |
| Chilled | 1,386 | 1,396 | 1,380 | 1,408 | 1,476 | $4.8 \%$ |
| Ambient | 5,362 | 5,046 | 4,795 | 4,701 | 4,533 | $-3.6 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 4,945 | 4,593 | 4,311 | 4,163 | 3,958 | $-4.9 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 1,803 | 1,849 | 1,864 | 1,946 | 2,050 | $5.4 \%$ |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 3,609 | 3,473 | 3,415 | 3,420 | 3,291 | $-3.8 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Private label | 1,424 | 1,362 | 1,344 | 1,317 | 1,235 | $-6.2 \%$ |
| Branded | 2,186 | 2,112 | 2,071 | 2,103 | 2,055 | $-2.3 \%$ |

Largest EU FJN market by volume consumption, 2016

| Country | Million <br> litres |
| :--- | ---: |
| Germany | 2,361 |
| France | 1,415 |
| United Kingdom | 1,116 |
| Spain | 831 |
| Poland | 800 |
| Others | 2,776 |
| Total | 9,299 |

Largest EU FJN market by percapita consumption, 2016

| Country | Population <br> (million) | Litres per <br> person |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Malta | 0.4 | 31.0 |
| Germany | 82.6 | 28.6 |
| Netherlands | 17.0 | 23.8 |
| Cyprus | 1.2 | 23.4 |
| Austria | 8.7 | 22.9 |
| Sweden | 10.0 | 22.5 |

Largest FJN markets by volume consumption by region, 2016

| Region | Million <br> litres |
| :--- | ---: |
| North America | 8,943 |
| West Europe | 8,208 |
| Asia Pacific | 7,683 |
| East Europe | 4,250 |
| Africa \& Middle East | 3,457 |
| Latin America | 3,422 |
| Total | 35,963 |
| EU 28 | 9,299 |

Largest FJN markets by per-capita consumption by region, 2016

| Country | Population <br> (million) | Litres per <br> person |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| North America | 360.3 | 24.8 |
| West Europe | 420.5 | 19.5 |
| EU 28 | 512.4 | 18.2 |
| East Europe | 393.5 | 10.8 |
| Latin America | 585.0 | 5.8 |
| Africa \& Middle East | 952.2 | 3.6 |
| Asia Pacific | $3,697.5$ | 2.1 |



# Juices for Today and for Tomorrow - Assuring a Sustainable Future for the Sector 



It has become evident by now - social and environmental sustainability is a growing concern for many consumers, in particular amongst the millennials. And this is valid for all products this population is exposed to, including food and beverage products. We could even say that our products are under a special spotlight as they are widely available and purchased on a daily basis. Many companies are therefore making efforts to improve their sustainability image.

## JuíceCSR



Nevertheless, the consumer drive is not the only one: many businesses are driven by the idea of optimisation and continuous improvement which eventually lead towards profitability. Not to be neglected is motivation stemming from the sense of moral obligation to the environment and the society at large.

But the European juice sector is composed of companies of various sizes and levels of integration, which, combined with the complex global supply chains, makes a sector-wide approach a challenge. AIJN, however, took this challenge up back in 2013 by establishing the Juice CSR Platform - a sustainability initiative of the juice industry supported by the European Commission.

The objective of the Juice CSR Platform is to inspire and support the European juice industry to integrate Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in its business operations. The Platform aims to build a CSR network in the juice industry, to share information and good practices, and to initiate collaborative projects. This is where the true multi-stakeholder character of the Platform is shown through collaboration of representatives of primary producers, processors, bottlers, retailers, suppliers and even NGOs.

Core Juice CSR Platform activity, next to sharing good practice, takes place in specific country/crop working groups. There are currently 3 of them: Brazil / orange, Poland / apple, Thailand / pineapple. The objective of these groups is to carry out the analysis of these particular supply chains and identify hotspots from an environmental, social and economic perspective, then seek solutions for improvements through collaborative projects.

How do we do this? By using documentation of group members, by carrying out interviews with relevant stakeholders, and by organising field trips or 'fact-finding missions', as we call them. The latter are crucial for getting access to concrete information 'on the ground' and understanding the real context of all issues and opportunities. It is only by reaching out to our fruit growers that we can comprehend their environment and provide them with support. The same goes with engagement with local authorities, research institutes, NGOs, and other stakeholders who can all play a part in setting up pilot projects around identified environmental, social and economic challenges.

Achieving meaningful improvements in sustainability - that is what we are striving for. Important progress has already been achieved, but further results can be gained by joining our efforts and resources and by putting greater emphasis on the effectiveness of a pre-competitive collaborative approach - the core value of the Juice CSR Platform!

# AMC Juices Awarded by Sedex 2017 for active collaboration in the Juice CSR Platform 



AMC Juices were awarded with the Sedex Award 2017 in the Best Collaborative Effort category for their active collaboration in the Juice CSR Platform. The Sedex Awards were created to celebrate sustainability success stories of Sedex members worldwide in areas of continuous improvement, best collaborative efforts, and innovative supply chain programmes. AMC are members of Sedex for over a decade, managing their Ethical Compliance audits through the Sedex Platform.

Olimpia Ortiz, Head of Quality Systems \& Sustainability at AMC Juices commented: 'This is a great recognition of our joint work awarded by sustainability experts that have chosen our project between hundreds of others. We hope that having presented the Juice CSR Platform to the Sedex Awards will give exposure not only to our company, but to the Platform and its members, demonstrating that the juice sector is being proactive when it comes to sustainability.'


## Aiming for 100\% sustainable juice



On the occasion of the Juice CSR Platform plenary meeting in March 2017, the IDH Covenant on Sustainably Sourced and Processed Fruit and Vegetable Derived Juices Purees and their Concentrates was launched. Under the coordination of IDH - The Sustainable Trade Initiative, the companies Döhler, FrieslandCampina Riedel, Refresco and Verbruggen Juice Trading Sustainable Products b.v., signed a global covenant targeting $100 \%$ verified sustainable sourcing for their juices within the next decade. With the support of AIJN, they will work on the certification/verification of their supply chains, and jointly set up projects to address specific sustainability issues such as smallholder inclusion, working conditions, soil erosion and degradation, and climate resilience. Other companies are welcome to join this initiative as well.

## European Harmony

Industry needs an effective chain control system, which enables the detection of non-conformance, to trace it back to its source and to stop the danger of repetition and proliferation at a reasonable cost level.

Unfortunately, some players in the market are still choosing a very special approach to overcome hard competition.

As most of the known adulterations and infringements of fair competition are not health related, the responsibility for stopping such problems has become more and more a task for the industry itself.

Already in 1974 the German industry recognised the need for an industrial self-control and established the: "Schutzgemeinschaft der Fruchtsaftindustrie e.V. (SGF)", today SGF International e. V. Also in other countries like France (QUALIJUS), Spain (AEAZN) and United Kingdom (BSDA) similar control systems were founded.


## Institut professionne



In order to harmonise already developed systems and to make industrial control even more effective and efficient the EU fruit juice industry through its representative association the AIJN founded the European Quality Control System (EQCS) in 1994.


EQCS is the umbrella organisation for European market and bottler inspections. It was re-established in December 2009. The object of the association is:

- to promote free and fair competition;
- to protect the manufacturers and traders in raw and finished products against unfair competition arising from infringement of legal requirements and accepted industry standards;
- to support them in the execution of their duties of care and to protect them from unjustified attacks;
- safeguard the quality and authenticity of fruit juices in the interests of consumers.

For the participants it was clear that instead of the individual approach it is better to aim at carrying out only one test in an undisrupted chain on the condition that the traceability throughout the chain is secured. Traceability means the possibility to trace each product (and related quality deviations) back to its source (from the bottle back to the tree).

The benefits for the industry are obvious:

- buying from approved participants of the control scheme requires less own authenticity testing of raw materials.
- it provides consumers with products fulfilling their need and expectations
- it reduces safety risks due to hygiene audits and monitoring health related parameters
- it takes away unfair competition in the market
- it avoids negative publicity which could damage the image of our healthy product juice

Packers of consumer goods can directly become a participant in a national or regional member system (AEAZN; BSDA; QUALIJUS; SGF) of the EQCS.

Raw material suppliers (worldwide) can directly participate in SGF with its raw material assurance system SGF/IRMA.

Now the EQCS has 5 members, the 4 named control systems and AIJN.

Apart from the EQCS's responsibility for coordination and harmonisation, full control responsibility for the finished goods, markets and bottlers lies with the individual control systems, as the members of the EQCS. These are responsible either for an individual country (National Control Systems NQCS) or for several countries (Regional Control Systems RQCS).

SGF/IQCS is such a regional control system, run by SGF. Currently, the countries Austria, Estonia, Germany, Hungary, Lithuania, the Netherlands and Portugal belong to this regional control system. In addition, IQCS has individual member companies in Finland and Switzerland.

The basis for testing quality, authenticity and safety parameters by the EQCS and its European member systems, and SGF for its members in different categories (amongst them about 350 raw material suppliers in almost 60 countries), is the AIJN Code of Practice.

The EQCS organises annually a Europe wide juice control campaign, the EQCS campaign. Its target is to get an overview on the market concerning the quality and authenticity of the European products (NFC and fruit juice made from concentrate). For the first time in the history of industrial self-control such an overview was prepared in 2011 for 260 juice samples from 26 countries of the European Union. First the juices underwent the SGF Profiling. Afterwards the

## Quality Control System in Europe


samples were analysed conventionally. Additionally all packages were controlled on correct declaration. The results are comparable and give an excellent overview on the European market situation.

In addition, in that process a comparison is prepared between countries running an own control system and those which do not have a control scheme. It is clearly illustrated that the number of deviating products is essentially higher in countries without an own control system than in those running one.

In 2016, EQCS organised a campaign on tomato juice. 41 tomato juices (16 juices NFC, 25 from concentrate) from all over Europe were tested by SGF Service Plus GmbH for authenticity and safety criteria. Detailed results can be provided in a neutralised form on request.

In 2017, the EQCS control campaign will focus on orange juice (NfC, from concentrate and nectars).

## Worldwide Raw Material Control

On request of numerous members, in 1986 the SGF controls, which had initially focussed on market monitoring activities, were supplemented by the Voluntary Control System (VCS). The aim was to ascertain irregularities, anomalies and adulterations of products already during the initial production phases of processing raw material and finished goods, and not to wait until these get to the market. Since 1986, the SGF-controls have therefore also included the manufacturers of raw materials and semi-finished products from companies all around the world.

The control system that permits the traceability of a juice "from the tree to the bottle" is based on voluntary participants who open the doors of their semi- and finished goods facilities for the SGF auditors and allow samples to be taken of the semi- or finished goods from on-going production and from the warehouse for corresponding testing, together with hygiene audits of the plant facilities.

The "complete control chain" from processing the fruit through to the finished product can provide verification of perfect quality within next-to-no time, even if natural changes resulting from origin, growth or variety characteristics cause deviations from normal expectations. At the same time, it is easy to detect, localise and prove illicit product manipulation. Any infringements against the food regulations or against the rules of the system (VCS Implementing Provisions) trigger corrective action by the SGF with corresponding follow-up inspections.

The VCS intends to give its participants greater security in purchasing semi-finished products and to protect the branch altogether from dishonest competitors. In addition, the VCS should help to safeguard the constantly growing quality expectations of retailers and consumers. Participation in the control system is intended to help the company in fulfilling its statutory obligation to put only perfect goods into circulation.

However, it is only possible to profit from the advantages of the system when it is supported by a qualified majority of reputable manufacturers who, above all, fulfil the corresponding requirements. Especially the cooperation with companies having an exemplary quality management is therefore important as they form the quality standard of the whole branch.

One of the two pillars of the Voluntary Control System is International Raw Material Assurance SGF/IRMA. In the meantime, SGF/IRMA has become a widely known brand that vouches for the quality of the certified products. Members place their trust in the certification of plants when purchasing their raw materials.

IRMA is responsible for checking the raw material markets as well as fruit processors, blending stations, traders, brokers, warehouses, coldstores and transport companies participating in the VCS.

Since 1996, SGF has the general order of the European Quality Control System (EQCS) to act as the central raw material assurance institution for the European control systems.

The globalisation of the raw material market leads to a need for a global industrial strategy for quality assurance and fair competition. SGF/IRMA is offering the right concept for that. SGF therefore has also entered into individual agreements with a number of individual countries outside the European Union (Turkey, Russia, China and South Africa). Hence, the semi-finished goods deliveries of members to these countries are also controlled.

Every company, whether SGF member or not, receives feedback about every analysed sample together with an evaluation and summary of the results of the chemical analysis and/or labelling check. Inconspicuous analysis findings are followed by so-called OK feedbacks. In these cases, all examined parameters comply with the statutory requirements respectively existing industrial standards, such as the AIJN/Code of Practice for the assessment of fruit and vegetable juice.

In the case of nonconformities with the authenticity or labelling with minor effects on the competition situation, the affected companies receive corresponding information letters with the request to comply with the rules and correct the nonconformities. Member companies are expected to derive corresponding corrective actions accordingly. Follow-up controls then check implementation of the correction suggestions as the case may be.

In any case, the acknowledged product qualities offered by the juice industry may have contributed to the increase in per capita consumption figures over the past 40 years. This success should be secured and expanded.

Alexandra Heinermann, General Manager, SGF International e.V.

## From Grove to Glass

Population: $\mathbf{8 . 7}$ million


Fruit juice ( $100 \%$ juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 153 | 144 | 132 | 143 | 140 | $-2.6 \%$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 85 | 84 | 75 | 80 | 78 | $-1.5 \%$ |
| Private label | 68 | 60 | 57 | 64 | 61 | $-4.0 \%$ |
| Ambient | 136 | 128 | 113 | 118 | 111 | $-5.6 \%$ |
| Branded | 75 | 75 | 65 | 64 | 59 | $-6.7 \%$ |
| Private label | 61 | 54 | 49 | 54 | 52 | $-4.2 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 133 | 126 | 111 | 115 | 109 | $-5.6 \%$ |
| Branded | 72 | 72 | 62 | 61 | 57 | $-6.9 \%$ |
| Private label | 61 | 54 | 49 | 54 | 52 | $-4.2 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $-4.2 \%$ |
| Branded | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $-4.2 \%$ |
| Chilled | 17 | 16 | 19 | 25 | 28 | $11.2 \%$ |
| Branded | 10 | 9 | 11 | 16 | 19 | $19.7 \%$ |
| Private label | 7 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 | $-3.0 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 17 | 16 | 19 | 25 | 28 | $11.2 \%$ |
| Branded | 10 | 9 | 11 | 16 | 19 | $19.7 \%$ |
| Private label | 7 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 | $-3.0 \%$ |
| of which are smoothies | 3 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $17.3 \%$ |
| Branded | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $26.7 \%$ |
| Private label | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | $3.6 \%$ |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 76 | 68 | 65 | 64 | 61 | $-5.3 \%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Branded | 45 | 42 | 40 | 39 | 37 | $-5.7 \%$ |
| Private label | 31 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 24 | $-4.6 \%$ |
| Ambient | 76 | 68 | 65 | 64 | 61 | $-5.3 \%$ |
| Branded | 45 | 42 | 40 | 39 | 37 | $-5.7 \%$ |
| Private label | 31 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 24 | $-4.6 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 76 | 68 | 65 | 64 | 61 | $-5.3 \%$ |
| Branded | 45 | 42 | 40 | 39 | 37 | $-5.7 \%$ |
| Private label | 31 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 24 | $-4.6 \%$ |



Population: $\mathbf{1 1 . 3}$ million


Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 177 | 178 | 174 | 165 | 150 | -8.86\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Branded | 68 | 68 | 66 | 63 | 58 | -7.9\% |
| Private label | 110 | 109 | 108 | 102 | 92 | -9.8\% |
| Ambient | 160 | 158 | 154 | 144 | 131 | -8.9\% |
| Branded | 55 | 54 | 51 | 48 | 45 | -6.4\% |
| Private label | 105 | 104 | 103 | 96 | 86 | -10.2\% |
| From concentrate | 160 | 158 | 153 | 144 | 131 | -8.9\% |
| Branded | 55 | 54 | 51 | 48 | 45 | -6.4\% |
| Private label | 105 | 104 | 103 | 96 | 86 | -10.2\% |
| Not from concentrate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Chilled | 17 | 19 | 21 | 21 | 19 | -8.4\% |
| Branded | 12 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 13 | -10.6\% |
| Private label | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | -2.6\% |
| From concentrate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Not from concentrate | 17 | 19 | 21 | 20 | 19 | -8.5\% |
| Branded | 12 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 13 | -10.9\% |
| Private label | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | -2.6\% |
| of which are smoothies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 53.1\% |
| Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 69.1\% |
| Private label | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25.0\% |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 36 | 36 | 36 | 34 | 31 | $-11.1 \%$ |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Branded | 22 | 23 | 24 | 22 | 21 | $-8.2 \%$ |
| Private label | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 10 | $-16.7 \%$ |
| Ambient | 35 | 35 | 35 | 33 | 30 | $-11.4 \%$ |
| Branded | 21 | 23 | 23 | 21 | 20 | $-8.5 \%$ |
| Private label | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 10 | $-16.7 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 35 | 35 | 35 | 33 | 30 | $-11.4 \%$ |
| Branded | 21 | 23 | 23 | 21 | 20 | $-8.5 \%$ |
| Private label | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 10 | $-16.7 \%$ |
| Chilled | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Branded | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Branded | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| of which are smoothies | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-14.3 \%$ |
| Branded | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-14.3 \%$ |



# NFrance 

Population: $\mathbf{6 6 . 9}$ million

| Volume, million litres* | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 15-16 Gr\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 1,662 | 1,599 | 1,526 | 1,482 | 1,415 | -4.5\% |
|  | Top two brands |  |  |  | 2016 Vol ml |  |
| Juice | Tropicana |  |  |  |  | 186.0 |
|  | Joker (Eckes-Granini) |  |  |  |  | 111.7 |
| Nectars | Pressade |  |  |  |  | 22.9 |
|  | Joker (Eckes-Granini) |  |  |  |  | 11.8 |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 1,314 | 1,281 | 1,237 | 1,221 | 1,174 | $-3.9 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 583 | 586 | 579 | 589 | 591 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Private label | 731 | 695 | 658 | 633 | 583 | $-7.9 \%$ |
| Ambient | 1,152 | 1,111 | 1,062 | 1,037 | 978 | $-5.7 \%$ |
| Branded | 471 | 468 | 456 | 457 | 445 | $-2.7 \%$ |
| Private label | 682 | 643 | 606 | 580 | 533 | $-8.1 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 545 | 509 | 472 | 451 | 411 | $-9.0 \%$ |
| Branded | 160 | 151 | 145 | 144 | 135 | $-6.3 \%$ |
| Private label | 385 | 357 | 327 | 307 | 276 | $-10.3 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 608 | 602 | 590 | 586 | 567 | $-3.2 \%$ |
| Branded | 311 | 317 | 312 | 313 | 310 | $-1.1 \%$ |
| Private label | 297 | 286 | 278 | 273 | 257 | $-5.7 \%$ |
| of which are smoothies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Chilled | 162 | 170 | 175 | 184 | 196 | $6.4 \%$ |
| Branded | 112 | 118 | 122 | 132 | 146 | $11.1 \%$ |
| Private label | 49 | 52 | 53 | 52 | 50 | $-5.3 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 162 | 170 | 175 | 184 | 196 | $6.4 \%$ |
| Branded | 112 | 118 | 122 | 132 | 146 | $11.1 \%$ |
| Private label | 49 | 52 | 53 | 52 | 50 | $-5.3 \%$ |
| of which are smoothies | 15 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 17 | $29.1 \%$ |
| Branded | 8 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 12 | $44.4 \%$ |
| Private label | 7 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 6 | $5.7 \%$ |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 348 | 319 | 289 | 261 | 241 | $-7.5 \%$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 81 | 76 | 73 | 75 | 83 | $10.2 \%$ |
| Private label | 267 | 243 | 216 | 185 | 158 | $-14.7 \%$ |
| Ambient | 346 | 317 | 289 | 261 | 241 | $-7.6 \%$ |
| Branded | 80 | 75 | 73 | 75 | 83 | $9.7 \%$ |
| Private label | 267 | 242 | 215 | 185 | 158 | $-14.7 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 346 | 317 | 289 | 261 | 241 | $-7.6 \%$ |
| Branded | 80 | 75 | 73 | 75 | 83 | $9.7 \%$ |
| Private label | 267 | 242 | 215 | 185 | 158 | $-14.7 \%$ |
| of which are smoothies | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Branded | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | $-3.6 \%$ |
| Private label | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $3.9 \%$ |
| Chilled | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Branded | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Private label | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $10.3 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $10.3 \%$ |
| Branded | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Private label | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $10.3 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| of which are smoothies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |

Population: $\mathbf{8 2} \mathbf{2 . 6}$ million

| Total fruit juice and nectars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, million litres* | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 15-16 Gr\% |
| Total | 2,609 | 2,488 | 2,408 | 2,397 | 2,361 | -1.5\% |
|  | Top two brands |  |  |  | 2016 Vol ml |  |
| Juice | Valensina |  |  |  |  | 124.2 |
|  | Hohes C |  |  |  |  | 112.1 |
| Nectars | Punica |  |  |  |  | 90.7 |
|  | Granini |  |  |  |  | 86.4 |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 1,824 | 1,747 | 1,669 | 1,640 | 1,617 | -1.4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Branded | 936 | 915 | 900 | 903 | 904 | 0.2\% |
| Private label | 888 | 832 | 768 | 737 | 712 | -3.3\% |
| Ambient | 1,758 | 1,670 | 1,570 | 1,530 | 1,489 | -2.7\% |
| Branded | 875 | 839 | 807 | 801 | 790 | -1.4\% |
| Private label | 883 | 831 | 763 | 730 | 699 | -4.2\% |
| From concentrate | 1,528 | 1,439 | 1,336 | 1,283 | 1,229 | -4.2\% |
| Branded | 819 | 781 | 751 | 743 | 729 | -1.9\% |
| Private label | 709 | 657 | 585 | 540 | 500 | -7.4\% |
| Not from concentrate | 230 | 232 | 234 | 247 | 259 | 5.0\% |
| Branded | 56 | 58 | 56 | 58 | 61 | 5.3\% |
| Private label | 174 | 174 | 179 | 189 | 199 | 4.9\% |
| of which are smoothies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.3\% |
| Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.3\% |
| Chilled | 66 | 77 | 98 | 109 | 128 | 16.9\% |
| Branded | 60 | 76 | 94 | 102 | 115 | 12.0\% |
| Private label | 5 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 13 | 86.9\% |
| From concentrate | 38 | 41 | 50 | 54 | 58 | 7.5\% |
| Branded | 38 | 41 | 50 | 54 | 58 | 7.5\% |
| Not from concentrate | 28 | 36 | 49 | 56 | 70 | 26.0\% |
| Branded | 22 | 35 | 44 | 49 | 57 | 17.0\% |
| Private label | 5 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 13 | 86.9\% |
| of which are smoothies | 9 | 8 | 17 | 25 | 39 | 56.7\% |
| Branded | 3 | 7 | 12 | 18 | 26 | 44.5\% |
| Private label | 5 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 13 | 86.9\% |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 785 | 741 | 739 | 757 | 744 | $-1.7 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 455 | 429 | 406 | 412 | 401 | $-2.8 \%$ |
| Private label | 331 | 312 | 333 | 345 | 343 | $-0.3 \%$ |
| Ambient | 778 | 733 | 735 | 753 | 740 | $-1.7 \%$ |
| Branded | 447 | 421 | 402 | 408 | 397 | $-2.8 \%$ |
| Private label | 331 | 312 | 333 | 345 | 343 | $-0.3 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 778 | 732 | 734 | 752 | 739 | $-1.7 \%$ |
| Branded | 447 | 420 | 401 | 407 | 396 | $-2.8 \%$ |
| Private label | 331 | 312 | 333 | 345 | 343 | $-0.3 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $-2.4 \%$ |
| Branded | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $-2.4 \%$ |
| Chilled | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $8.2 \%$ |
| Branded | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $8.2 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $8.2 \%$ |
| Branded | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $8.2 \%$ |
| of which are smoothies | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $7.9 \%$ |
| Branded | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $7.9 \%$ |

I Italy
Population: $\mathbf{6 1 . 4}$ million

| Total fruit juice and nectars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, million litres* | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 15-16 Gr\% |
| Total | 774 | 726 | 690 | 699 | 659 | -5.7\% |
|  | Top two brands |  |  |  | 2016 Vol ml |  |
| Juice | Santal |  |  |  |  | 17.0 |
|  | Skipper |  |  |  |  | 13.5 |
| Nectars | Yoga |  |  |  |  | 71.1 |
|  | Skipper |  |  |  |  | 52.3 |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 155 | 141 | 133 | 134 | 118 | $-11.5 \%$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 102 | 90 | 84 | 84 | 72 | $-14.3 \%$ |
| Private label | 52 | 51 | 49 | 49 | 46 | $-6.6 \%$ |
| Ambient | 139 | 128 | 121 | 121 | 104 | $-13.6 \%$ |
| Branded | 93 | 83 | 78 | 77 | 64 | $-16.9 \%$ |
| Private label | 45 | 45 | 43 | 43 | 40 | $-7.8 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 139 | 127 | 119 | 119 | 103 | $-13.9 \%$ |
| Branded | 93 | 82 | 76 | 76 | 63 | $-17.4 \%$ |
| Private label | 45 | 45 | 43 | 43 | 40 | $-7.8 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | $7.2 \%$ |
| Branded | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | $7.2 \%$ |
| of which are smoothies | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $-58.8 \%$ |
| Branded | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $-58.8 \%$ |
| Chilled | 16 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 14 | $8.6 \%$ |
| Branded | 9 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 8 | $14.4 \%$ |
| Private label | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | $1.9 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $32.8 \%$ |
| Branded | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $32.8 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 12 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Branded | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $-0.6 \%$ |
| Private label | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Branded | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $-7.6 \%$ |
| of which are smoothies | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $-7.6 \%$ |
| Bran |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 620 | 584 | 557 | 565 | 541 | $-4.4 \%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Branded | 407 | 373 | 351 | 357 | 339 | $-5.0 \%$ |
| Private label | 213 | 212 | 206 | 208 | 201 | $-3.2 \%$ |
| Ambient | 620 | 584 | 557 | 565 | 541 | $-4.4 \%$ |
| Branded | 407 | 373 | 351 | 357 | 339 | $-5.0 \%$ |
| Private label | 213 | 212 | 206 | 208 | 201 | $-3.2 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 620 | 584 | 557 | 565 | 541 | $-4.4 \%$ |
| Branded | 407 | 373 | 351 | 357 | 339 | $-5.0 \%$ |
| Private label | 213 | 212 | 206 | 208 | 201 | $-3.2 \%$ |



Netherlands
Population: $\mathbf{1 7 . 0}$ million

| Volume, million litres* | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 15-16 Gr\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 486 | 464 | 446 | 431 | 404 | -6.2\% |
|  | Top two brands |  |  |  | 2016 Vol ml |  |
| Juice | Appelsientje |  |  |  | 46.1 |  |
|  | Coolbest |  |  |  | 22.2 |  |
| Nectars | Appelsientje |  |  |  | 14.2 |  |
|  | Hero (Hero Group) |  |  |  |  | 10.1 |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 289 | 272 | 257 | 245 | 230 | $-6.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 164 | 149 | 141 | 138 | 128 | $-7.6 \%$ |
| Private label | 125 | 123 | 116 | 107 | 102 | $-4.0 \%$ |
| Ambient | 203 | 185 | 170 | 156 | 144 | $-8.1 \%$ |
| Branded | 109 | 95 | 88 | 82 | 73 | $-10.9 \%$ |
| Private label | 94 | 90 | 83 | 74 | 71 | $-5.0 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 200 | 182 | 165 | 149 | 136 | $-8.8 \%$ |
| Branded | 106 | 92 | 82 | 75 | 66 | $-12.3 \%$ |
| Private label | 94 | 90 | 82 | 74 | 70 | $-5.3 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 | $7.5 \%$ |
| Branded | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 7 | $5.2 \%$ |
| Private label | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $33.3 \%$ |
| Chilled | 86 | 87 | 86 | 88 | 86 | $-2.4 \%$ |
| Branded | 55 | 54 | 53 | 56 | 54 | $-2.8 \%$ |
| Private label | 30 | 33 | 34 | 33 | 32 | $-1.8 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 32 | 31 | 31 | 33 | 31 | $-7.2 \%$ |
| Branded | 32 | 31 | 31 | 33 | 31 | $-7.2 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 54 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Branded | 23 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 23 | $3.7 \%$ |
| Private label | 30 | 33 | 34 | 33 | 32 | $-1.8 \%$ |
| of which are smoothies | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $6.2 \%$ |
| Branded | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $6.2 \%$ |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 197 | 192 | 189 | 186 | 174 | $-6.4 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 89 | 84 | 80 | 77 | 71 | $-7.8 \%$ |
| Private label | 108 | 108 | 110 | 109 | 103 | $-5.4 \%$ |
| Ambient | 153 | 149 | 147 | 146 | 138 | $-5.9 \%$ |
| Branded | 57 | 53 | 50 | 50 | 47 | $-5.4 \%$ |
| Private label | 96 | 95 | 97 | 97 | 91 | $-6.1 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 153 | 149 | 147 | 146 | 137 | $-6.0 \%$ |
| Branded | 57 | 53 | 50 | 49 | 46 | $-5.7 \%$ |
| Private label | 96 | 95 | 97 | 97 | 91 | $-6.1 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $30.0 \%$ |
| Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $30.0 \%$ |
| Chilled | 44 | 43 | 43 | 40 | 37 | $-8.2 \%$ |
| Branded | 32 | 31 | 30 | 27 | 24 | $-12.1 \%$ |
| Private label | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | $0.0 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 44 | 43 | 43 | 40 | 37 | $-8.2 \%$ |
| Branded | 32 | 31 | 30 | 27 | 24 | $-12.1 \%$ |
| Private label | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| of which are smoothies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Brand |  |  |  |  |  |  |

niv Poland
Population: $\mathbf{3 8 . 4}$ million

| Total fruit juice and nectars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, million litres* | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 15-16 Gr\% |
| Total | 695 | 673 | 699 | 757 | 800 | 5.7\% |
|  | Top two brands |  |  |  | 2016 Vol ml |  |
| Juice | Tymbark |  |  |  |  | 85.2 |
|  | Hortex |  |  |  |  | 76.2 |
| Nectars | Tymbark |  |  |  |  | 54.2 |
|  | Hortex |  |  |  |  | 39.4 |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 449 | 438 | 456 | 504 | 543 | $7.9 \%$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 391 | 381 | 396 | 426 | 458 | $7.5 \%$ |
| Private label | 58 | 57 | 60 | 78 | 85 | $9.8 \%$ |
| Ambient | 391 | 378 | 392 | 437 | 473 | $8.3 \%$ |
| Branded | 337 | 326 | 338 | 369 | 401 | $8.6 \%$ |
| Private label | 54 | 52 | 54 | 68 | 72 | $6.5 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 383 | 364 | 371 | 386 | 391 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Branded | 329 | 314 | 320 | 333 | 344 | $3.4 \%$ |
| Private label | 54 | 51 | 51 | 53 | 47 | $-12.2 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 8 | 14 | 21 | 51 | 82 | $60.9 \%$ |
| Branded | 8 | 12 | 18 | 37 | 57 | $55.5 \%$ |
| Private label | 0 | 2 | 3 | 15 | 26 | $74.7 \%$ |
| Chilled | 58 | 60 | 64 | 67 | 70 | $5.1 \%$ |
| Branded | 54 | 56 | 58 | 57 | 57 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Private label | 4 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 13 | $32.7 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | $7.4 \%$ |
| Branded | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | $3.4 \%$ |
| Private label | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $57.1 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 48 | 51 | 55 | 57 | 60 | $4.8 \%$ |
| Branded | 44 | 46 | 49 | 48 | 48 | $-0.2 \%$ |
| Private label | 4 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 12 | $30.8 \%$ |
| Branded | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | $5.3 \%$ |
| of which are smoothies | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | $5.3 \%$ |
| Prat |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 246 | 235 | 243 | 253 | 257 | $1.5 \%$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 148 | 142 | 147 | 153 | 166 | $8.0 \%$ |
| Private label | 98 | 93 | 96 | 100 | 91 | $-8.5 \%$ |
| Ambient | 244 | 234 | 243 | 253 | 257 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Branded | 146 | 141 | 147 | 153 | 166 | $8.0 \%$ |
| Private label | 98 | 93 | 96 | 100 | 91 | $-8.5 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 244 | 234 | 243 | 253 | 257 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Branded | 146 | 141 | 147 | 153 | 166 | $8.0 \%$ |
| Private label | 98 | 93 | 96 | 100 | 91 | $-8.5 \%$ |
| ofwhich are smoothies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Chilled | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Branded | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Branded | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |

2 Spain
Population: $\mathbf{4 6 . 4}$ million

| Total fruit juice and nectars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, million litres* | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 15-16 Gr\% |
| Total | 986 | 926 | 910 | 886 | 831 | -6.3\% |
|  | Top two brands |  |  |  | 2016 Vol ml |  |
| Juice | Don Simon |  |  |  | 88.2 |  |
|  | Juver |  |  |  | 40.2 |  |
| Nectars | Don Simon |  |  |  | 101.4 |  |
|  | Juver |  |  |  |  | 39.1 |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 444 | 394 | 376 | 366 | 367 | $0.4 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 233 | 216 | 221 | 204 | 200 | $-2.3 \%$ |
| Private label | 211 | 178 | 155 | 162 | 168 | $3.8 \%$ |
| Ambient | 398 | 350 | 333 | 323 | 319 | $-1.0 \%$ |
| Branded | 213 | 197 | 201 | 186 | 178 | $-4.2 \%$ |
| Private label | 185 | 153 | 132 | 136 | 141 | $3.4 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 323 | 273 | 253 | 240 | 236 | $-1.7 \%$ |
| Branded | 179 | 162 | 161 | 147 | 140 | $-4.5 \%$ |
| Private label | 144 | 111 | 91 | 93 | 96 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 74 | 77 | 80 | 82 | 83 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Branded | 33 | 35 | 40 | 39 | 38 | $-3.4 \%$ |
| Private label | 41 | 42 | 40 | 43 | 46 | $4.8 \%$ |
| Chilled | 47 | 44 | 43 | 43 | 48 | $10.6 \%$ |
| Branded | 21 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 21 | $17.0 \%$ |
| Private label | 26 | 25 | 23 | 25 | 27 | $6.0 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 13 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 3 | $-9.4 \%$ |
| Branded | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Private label | 13 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 3 | $-9.4 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 33 | 37 | 38 | 40 | 45 | $12.2 \%$ |
| Branded | 20 | 19 | 20 | 18 | 21 | $17.0 \%$ |
| Private label | 13 | 18 | 19 | 22 | 24 | $8.2 \%$ |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 542 | 532 | 535 | 521 | 464 | -10.9\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Branded | 197 | 198 | 193 | 190 | 170 | -10.7\% |
| Private label | 345 | 334 | 342 | 330 | 294 | -11.1\% |
| Ambient | 541 | 531 | 533 | 518 | 460 | -11.1\% |
| Branded | 344 | 334 | 340 | 328 | 291 | -11.2\% |
| Private label | 197 | 197 | 193 | 190 | 169 | -11.0\% |
| From concentrate | 540 | 531 | 533 | 518 | 460 | -11.1\% |
| Branded | 344 | 333 | 340 | 328 | 291 | -11.2\% |
| Private label | 197 | 197 | 193 | 190 | 169 | -11.0\% |
| Not from concentrate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -100.0\% |
| Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -100.0\% |
| Chilled | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 32.4\% |
| Branded | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 7.4\% |
| Private label | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 238.5\% |
| From concentrate | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 141.5\% |
| Branded | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -26.7\% |
| Private label | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 238.5\% |
| Not from concentrate | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 10.0\% |
| Branded | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 10.0\% |
| of which are smoothies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 213.8\% |
| Branded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-8.3 \%$ |
| Private label | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 370.6\% |

VIIU United Kingdom
Population: $\mathbf{6 5 . 6}$ million


Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 1,144 | 1,079 | 996 | 948 | 928 | $-2.1 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 519 | 505 | 476 | 471 | 495 | $5.0 \%$ |
| Private label | 625 | 574 | 519 | 477 | 433 | $-9.1 \%$ |
| Ambient | 458 | 395 | 352 | 313 | 259 | $-17.3 \%$ |
| Branded | 143 | 113 | 97 | 87 | 68 | $-22.0 \%$ |
| Private label | 314 | 282 | 255 | 226 | 191 | $-15.4 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 458 | 395 | 352 | 313 | 259 | $-17.3 \%$ |
| Branded | 143 | 113 | 97 | 87 | 68 | $-22.0 \%$ |
| Private label | 314 | 282 | 255 | 226 | 191 | $-15.4 \%$ |
| Chilled | 687 | 684 | 644 | 635 | 669 | $5.4 \%$ |
| Branded | 376 | 392 | 379 | 384 | 427 | $11.1 \%$ |
| Private label | 311 | 292 | 264 | 250 | 242 | $-3.4 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 258 | 242 | 221 | 214 | 208 | $-2.6 \%$ |
| Branded | 18 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | $-3.6 \%$ |
| Private label | 239 | 226 | 206 | 200 | 195 | $-2.5 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 429 | 442 | 422 | 421 | 461 | $9.5 \%$ |
| Branded | 357 | 376 | 364 | 370 | 414 | $11.7 \%$ |
| Private label | 71 | 65 | 58 | 50 | 47 | $-7.0 \%$ |
| Pr | 58 | 54 | 52 | 52 | 54 | $4.1 \%$ |
| Private label | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | $-10.9 \%$ |
| Branded | 52 | 49 | 47 | 48 | 50 | $5.5 \%$ |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 182 | 196 | 194 | 193 | 188 | $-2.4 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 161 | 175 | 175 | 174 | 171 | $-1.5 \%$ |
| Private label | 22 | 21 | 19 | 19 | 17 | $-10.5 \%$ |
| Ambient | 141 | 138 | 150 | 153 | 142 | $-7.5 \%$ |
| Branded | 135 | 132 | 144 | 148 | 136 | $-8.1 \%$ |
| Private label | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | $9.3 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 138 | 136 | 141 | 144 | 132 | $-8.2 \%$ |
| Branded | 132 | 130 | 136 | 139 | 127 | $-8.9 \%$ |
| Private label | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | $9.3 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 2 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 10 | $4.1 \%$ |
| Branded | 2 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 10 | $4.1 \%$ |
| Chilled | 42 | 57 | 44 | 39 | 46 | $17.7 \%$ |
| Branded | 26 | 43 | 30 | 26 | 35 | $36.3 \%$ |
| Private label | 16 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 11 | $-18.4 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 40 | 43 | 34 | 30 | 37 | $21.1 \%$ |
| Branded | 25 | 29 | 20 | 17 | 26 | $51.9 \%$ |
| Private label | 16 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 11 | $-18.4 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 1 | 14 | 10 | 9 | 9 | $5.7 \%$ |
| Branded | 1 | 14 | 10 | 9 | 9 | $5.7 \%$ |
| of which are smoothies | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 20 | $32.7 \%$ |
| Branded | 9 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 15 | $68.2 \%$ |
| Private label | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | $-19.2 \%$ |

Bulgaria

| Volume, million litres* | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 15-16 Gr\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 67 | 63 | 64 | 62 | 61 | -2.3\% |
|  | Top two brands |  |  |  |  | 016 Vol ml |
| Juice | Cappy |  |  |  |  | 2.3 |
|  | Pfanner |  |  |  |  | 1.4 |
| Nectars | Cappy |  |  |  |  | 15.1 |
|  | Queens |  |  |  |  | 6.7 |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice |  | 16 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 | -3.4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Branded | 14 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 12 | -1.7\% |
|  | Private label | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | -17.5\% |
| Ambient |  | 15 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 13 | -3.3\% |
| Chilled |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5.7\% |
| From con | centrate | 15 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 11 | -3.7\% |
| Not from | concentrate | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | -1.5\% |
| Nectars (25-99\% juice content) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total nectars |  | 51 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 48 | -2.0\% |
|  | Branded | 43 | 41 | 41 | 42 | 42 | 1.2\% |
|  | Private label | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 6 | -21.0\% |

* Cyprus

Population: $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ million

| Total fruit juice and nectars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, million litres* | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 15-16 Gr\% |
| Total | 32 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 28 | -1.6\% |
|  | Top two brands |  |  |  | 2016 Vol ml |  |
| Juice | N/A |  |  |  |  | N/A |
|  | N/A |  |  |  |  | N/A |
| Nectars | N/A |  |  |  |  | N/A |
|  | N/A |  |  |  |  | N/A |

Fruit juice ( $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 21 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 17 | $-1.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 21 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 17 | $-0.9 \%$ |
| Private label | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1 | $-8.1 \%$ |
| Ambient | 20 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 17 | $-2.9 \%$ |
| Chilled | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 21 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 17 | $-2.3 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | $-2.1 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Branded | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | $-1.9 \%$ |
| Private label | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | $-7.0 \%$ |

## Denmark

Population: $\mathbf{5 . 7}$ million

## Total fruit juice and nectars

| Volume, million litres* | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 15-16 Gr\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 111 | 111 | 108 | 106 | 106 | 0.2\% |
|  | Top two brands |  |  |  | 2016 Vol ml |  |
| Juice | Rynkeby |  |  |  |  | 17.7 |
|  | 16 Range |  |  |  |  | 7.4 |
| Nectars | Rynkeby |  |  |  |  | 2.4 |
|  | Innocent |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 110 | 109 | 103 | 100 | 101 | 0.3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Branded | 56 | 54 | 52 | 51 | 53 | 2.7\% |
| Private label | 53 | 56 | 51 | 49 | 48 | -2.2\% |
| Ambient | 99 | 98 | 90 | 87 | 86 | -0.9\% |
| Chilled | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 7.6\% |
| From concentrate | 101 | 98 | 91 | 86 | 83 | -3.3\% |
| Not from concentrate | 9 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 17 | 22.1\% |
| Nectars (25-99\% juice content) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total nectars | 1 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 6 | -1.8\% |
| Branded | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | -7.3\% |
| Private label | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19.3\% |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 12 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 14 | $0.1 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 11 | $-2.6 \%$ |
| Private label | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | $12.4 \%$ |
| Ambient | 12 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 14 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Chilled | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| From concentrate | 12 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | $-3.2 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | $19.9 \%$ |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 57 | 55 | 44 | 42 | 41 | $-4.2 \%$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 46 | 46 | 37 | 36 | 35 | $-2.7 \%$ |
| Private label | 10 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 5 | $-13.3 \%$ |

## Czech Republic Population: 10.6 million

| Total fruit juice and nectars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, million litres* | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 15-16 Gr\% |
| Total | 82 | 89 | 93 | 101 | 108 | 6.9\% |
|  | Top two brands |  |  |  | 2016 Vol ml |  |
| Juice | Relax |  |  |  | 13.8 |  |
|  | Hello |  |  |  | 7.3 |  |
| Nectars | Relax |  |  |  | 14.9 |  |
|  | Cappy |  |  |  |  | 5.3 |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 49 | 51 | 55 | 59 | 64 | $7.9 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 32 | 37 | 41 | 44 | 48 | $9.0 \%$ |
| Private label | 17 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 15 | $4.3 \%$ |
| Ambient | 48 | 50 | 54 | 58 | 63 | $7.8 \%$ |
| Chilled | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $15.4 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 48 | 48 | 51 | 55 | 59 | $7.8 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | $9.2 \%$ |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 34 | 37 | 38 | 42 | 44 | $5.4 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Branded | 22 | 27 | 28 | 31 | 34 | $9.2 \%$ |
| Private label | 12 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 10 | $-5.5 \%$ |

## Estonia

Population: $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ million

| Total fruit juice and nectars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, million litres* | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 15-16 Gr\% |
| Total | 27 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 24 | -2.1\% |
|  | Top two brands |  |  |  | 2016 Vol ml |  |
| Juice | Aura |  |  |  |  | 2.8 |
|  | Poltsamaa |  |  |  |  | 1.4 |
| Nectars | Aura |  |  |  |  | 5.1 |
|  | Poltsamaa |  |  |  |  | 2.1 |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 7.2\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Branded | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 9.1\% |
| Private label | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | -1.7\% |
| Ambient | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 7.4\% |
| Chilled | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3.6\% |
| From concentrate | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 6.4\% |
| Not from concentrate | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 15.2\% |
| Nectars (25-99\% juice content) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total nectars | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | -11.4\% |
| Branded | 15 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 10 | -11.8\% |
| Private label | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -4.6\% |


| Volume, million litres* | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 15-16 Gr\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 116 | 112 | 103 | 99 | 97 | -2.0\% |
|  | Top two brands |  |  |  | 2016 Vol ml |  |
| Juice | Marli |  |  |  |  | 15.5 |
|  | Rainbow (PL) |  |  |  |  | 14.8 |
| Nectars | Marli |  |  |  |  | 8.7 |
|  | Valio |  |  |  |  | 0.9 |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice |  | 101 | 97 | 89 | 86 | 84 | -1.8\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Branded | 65 | 62 | 57 | 53 | 51 | -3.4\% |
|  | Private label | 36 | 35 | 32 | 33 | 33 | 0.8\% |
| Ambient |  | 58 | 55 | 52 | 50 | 50 | -0.3\% |
| Chilled |  | 43 | 42 | 38 | 36 | 35 | -3.9\% |
| From con | centrate | 89 | 85 | 79 | 76 | 79 | 3.1\% |
| Not from | concentrate | 13 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 5 | -42.5\% |
| Nectars (25-99\% juice content) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total nectars |  | 15 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 12 | -3.4\% |
|  | Branded | 14 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 12 | -3.5\% |
|  | Private label | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -0.5\% |



Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 37 | 36 | 40 | 44 | 47 | $8.4 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 26 | 27 | 28 | 32 | 35 | $7.4 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Private label | 12 | 9 | 12 | 11 | 13 | $11.0 \%$ |
| Ambient | 37 | 36 | 40 | 44 | 47 | $8.4 \%$ |
| Chilled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-0.4 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 36 | 35 | 38 | 41 | 45 | $8.3 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | $9.8 \%$ |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 58 | 55 | 61 | 71 | 76 | $7.4 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Branded | 34 | 34 | 36 | 51 | 59 | $13.8 \%$ |
| Private label | 24 | 21 | 26 | 19 | 17 | $-9.6 \%$ |

UR Lithuania
Population: $\mathbf{2 . 9}$ million


Population: $\mathbf{1 0 . 8}$ million

| Total fruit juice and nectars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, million litres* | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 15-16 Gr\% |
| Total | 150 | 137 | 131 | 131 | 127 | -2.7\% |
|  | Top two brands |  |  |  | 2016 Vol ml |  |
| Juice | Amita |  |  |  |  | 32.6 |
|  | Life |  |  |  |  | 7.3 |
| Nectars | Amita |  |  |  |  | 32.6 |
|  | Life |  |  |  |  | 7.6 |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 89 | 78 | 73 | 72 | 70 | $-2.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 70 | 61 | 58 | 58 | 58 | $-0.9 \%$ |
| Private label | 19 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 12 | $-8.1 \%$ |
| Ambient | 71 | 64 | 60 | 58 | 56 | $-2.9 \%$ |
| Chilled | 17 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | $0.4 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 89 | 78 | 72 | 71 | 69 | $-2.3 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $-0.9 \%$ |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 61 | 59 | 58 | 59 | 57 | $-3.2 \%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Branded | 45 | 44 | 42 | 43 | 42 | $-1.9 \%$ |
| Private label | 17 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 14 | $-7.0 \%$ |



Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | $1.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | $1.4 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Private label | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $-1.4 \%$ |
| Ambient | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Chilled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-1.7 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1.0 \%$ |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 19 | 19 | 19 | 17 | 18 | $1.1 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 18 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 16 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Private label | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $-4.2 \%$ |

D Luxembourg
Population: $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ million

| Total fruit juice and nectars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, million litres* | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 15-16 Gr\% |
| Total | 10.7 | 10.6 | 10.5 | 9.9 | 9.0 | -9.4\% |
|  | Top two brands |  |  |  | 2016 Vol ml |  |
| Juice | N/A |  |  |  |  | N/A |
|  | N/A |  |  |  |  | N/A |
| Nectars | N/A |  |  |  |  | N/A |
|  | N/A |  |  |  |  | N/A |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 8.7 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 8.1 | 7.4 | -8.7\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Branded | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.3 | -7.4\% |
| Private label | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.0 | -9.8\% |
| Ambient | 7.7 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 6.9 | 6.3 | -8.9\% |
| Chilled | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | -5.7\% |
| From concentrate | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 3.9 | -9.8\% |
| Not from concentrate | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.5 | -9.5\% |
| Nectars (25-99\% juice content) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total nectars | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.6 | -12.3\% |
| Branded | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | -8.2\% |
| Private label | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.7 | -16.7\% |




Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 23 | 20 | 19 | 16 | 15 | $-7.6 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | $11.3 \%$ |
| Private label | 14 | 12 | 12 | 9 | 7 | $-23.9 \%$ |
| Ambient | 21 | 18 | 18 | 14 | 13 | $-9.2 \%$ |
| Chilled | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | $3.6 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 21 | 18 | 18 | 14 | 13 | $-9.2 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | $3.6 \%$ |


| Nectars (25-99\% juice content) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total nectars | 88 | 93 | 95 | 103 | 110 | $6.8 \%$ |
| Branded | 61 | 66 | 69 | 78 | 84 | $8.0 \%$ |
| Private label | 27 | 28 | 26 | 25 | 26 | $3.0 \%$ |

## Romania

Population: $\mathbf{1 9 . 7}$ million

| Volume, million litres* | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 15-16 Gr\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 56 | 54 | 54 | 58 | 61 | 4.8\% |
|  | Top two brands |  |  |  |  | 016 Vol ml |
| Juice | Santal |  |  |  |  | 2.6 |
|  | Tymbark |  |  |  |  | 2.4 |
| Nectars | Tedi |  |  |  |  | 5.6 |
|  | Santal |  |  |  |  | 4.4 |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 21 | 21 | 20 | 22 | 24 | $7.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 4 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $17.8 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Private label | 16 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 16 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Ambient | 21 | 21 | 20 | 22 | 23 | $6.0 \%$ |
| Chilled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $178.1 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 20 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 19 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $45.1 \%$ |
| Nectars (25-99\% juice content) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total nectars | 35 | 33 | 34 | 36 | 37 | $3.4 \%$ |
| $\quad$$\quad$ Branded$\quad$Private label | 27 | 26 | 26 | 28 | 29 | $5.9 \%$ |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 141 | 138 | 135 | 131 | 126 | $-4.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 71 | 67 | 69 | 68 | 63 | $-6.5 \%$ |
| Private label | 69 | 71 | 66 | 64 | 63 | $-1.3 \%$ |
| Ambient | 78 | 72 | 64 | 60 | 58 | $-3.5 \%$ |
| Chilled | 63 | 66 | 71 | 71 | 68 | $-4.4 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 116 | 108 | 97 | 95 | 90 | $-4.9 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 25 | 30 | 38 | 36 | 36 | $-1.6 \%$ |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | $-3.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | $5.8 \%$ |
| Private label | 9 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | $-7.2 \%$ |

## 1. Rep. of Ireland <br> Population: $\mathbf{4 . 7}$ million

Total fruit juice and nectars

| Volume, million litres* | 20122013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 15-16 Gr\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 5350 | 45 | 41 | 38 | -7.4\% |
|  | Top two brands |  |  |  | 2016 Vol ml |
| Juice | Tropicana |  |  |  | 7.4 |
|  | Innocent |  |  |  | 4.5 |
| Nectars | Ocean Spray |  |  |  | 2.4 |
|  | Trop50 |  |  |  | 0.6 |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 47 | 44 | 39 | 36 | 33 | $-7.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Branded | 27 | 26 | 21 | 23 | 21 | $-9.3 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Private label | 21 | 19 | 18 | 13 | 12 | $-4.8 \%$ |
| Ambient | 27 | 25 | 21 | 16 | 15 | $-1.9 \%$ |
| Chilled | 20 | 20 | 18 | 20 | 18 | $-12.1 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 33 | 31 | 27 | 20 | 18 | $-10.4 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 14 | 13 | 12 | 15 | 15 | $-4.0 \%$ |

Nectars (25-99\% juice content)
$\left.\begin{array}{|rrrrrr}\hline \text { Total nectars } & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 5 \\ \hline \text { Branded } & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 4 \\ \hline \text { Private label } & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right) 77.8 \% \%$

## A Slovak Republic Popuation 5.4 million

| Volume, million litres* | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 15-16 Gr\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 36 | 34 | 38 | 40 | 43 | 5.4\% |
|  | Top two brands |  |  |  | 2016 Vol ml |  |
| Juice | Relax |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |
|  | Happy Day |  |  |  |  | 3.7 |
| Nectars | Relax |  |  |  |  | 4.2 |
|  | Cappy |  |  |  |  | 2.9 |

Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 20 | 19 | 22 | 24 | 26 | $6.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 9 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Private label | 12 | 12 | 15 | 16 | 17 | $9.3 \%$ |
| Ambient | 19 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 23 | $5.2 \%$ |
| Chilled | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | $16.7 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 19 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 23 | $5.2 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | $16.7 \%$ |
| Nectars (25-99\% juice content) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total nectars | 16 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 17 | $4.2 \%$ |
| $\quad \mid \quad$ Branded | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 13 | $10.6 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Private label | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $-13.5 \%$ |

Slovenia
Population: $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ million


E Switzerland
Population: $\mathbf{8 . 4}$ million

| Total fruit juice and nectars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, million litres* | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 15-16 Gr\% |
| Total | 197 | 196 | 194 | 192 | 187 | -2.7\% |
|  | Top two brands |  |  |  | 2016 Vol ml |  |
| Juice | Migros (PL) |  |  |  |  | 33.7 |
|  | Ramseier |  |  |  |  | 26.1 |
| Nectars | Migros (PL) |  |  |  |  | 13.3 |
|  | Coop (PL) |  |  |  |  | 5.7 |

## Fruit juice (100\% juice content)

| Total fruit juice | 150 | 150 | 148 | 146 | 142 | $-2.8 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branded | 79 | 78 | 77 | 76 | 71 | $-5.4 \%$ |
| Private label | 72 | 72 | 70 | 71 | 71 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Ambient | 134 | 133 | 130 | 128 | 124 | $-3.6 \%$ |
| Chilled | 16 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 19 | $3.2 \%$ |
| From concentrate | 133 | 131 | 129 | 126 | 122 | $-3.7 \%$ |
| Not from concentrate | 18 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 21 | $2.9 \%$ |

## Nectars (25-99\% juice content)

| Total nectars | 47 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 45 | $-2.5 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Branded | 15 | 14 | 14 | 17 | 17 | $5.4 \%$ |
| Private label | 32 | 32 | 32 | 29 | 27 | $-7.0 \%$ |



## AIJN Report Methodology

Within the beverage industry GlobalData is recognised as the beverage information specialist and has been commissioned to produce the 2017 AIJN European Fruit Juice Market Report.

All data and analysis in the report have been produced using GlobalData's in-depth Wisdom Market Analyzer database and our latest Annual Soft Drinks Market Insight and Quarterly Beverage Tracker analysis reports.

The key to our methodology is that we operate in partnership both with manufacturers and their suppliers, allowing our in-country experts to cross-examine and reconcile consumption volumes through the value chain.
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## Definitions

## Fruit juice and Nectars

Juice: $100 \%$ pure fruit juice or vegetable juice with no added ingredients, except permitted minerals and vitamins for the purpose of fortification and permitted additives. Includes products: from concentrate, not from concentrate, chilled, ambient, frozen concentrated juice, smoothies.

Excludes carbonated juice.
Nectars: $25-99 \%$ juice content
Diluted fruit/vegetable juice and pulp, to which sweetening agents (e.g. sugar, honey, syrups and/or sweeteners) need to be added for the purposes of production. Permitted minerals and vitamins for the purpose of fortification and permitted additives may be added.

Whilst juice content is required to be equal to or in excess of $25 \%$ by volume, minimum juice content varies according to the fruit in question. Includes products: from concentrate, not from concentrate, chilled, ambient and smoothies.

Smoothies: Comprise blended fruit purée and juice drinks with a thick, smooth texture, sometimes incorporating a dairy element (yogurt) and/or functional elements (e.g. aloe vera, gingko, ginseng).

Both chilled and ambient products can be found. Includes packaged products and products made to order in on-premise outlets. Categorised under the juice and nectars categories, depending on juice content and/or ingredients. Includes smoothies containing less than $50 \%$ dairy only.

Not from concentrate (NFC): The fruit/vegetable is squeezed in the country of origin, lightly pasteurised and frozen or aseptically packed for shipment to where it will be sold.

From concentrate (FC): The fruit/vegetable is squeezed and concentrated through evaporation of natural water content by evaporators, before being frozen and shipped to the country for use and packaging. The product is then reconstituted to its original strength by addition of the same amount of water.

Freshly squeezed juice: Freshly squeezed fruit, not pasteurised, chilled with a shelf-life of a few days.

Chilled juiceRelates to products that are distributed and sold via the chilled distribution chain. These products will be marketed and positioned as chilled products and will usually be perceived by consumers as requiring chilling (although this may or may not be technically necessary).

Ambient juice: Relates to products that are distributed and marketed via an ambient distribution chain. Note, these products may be placed in chillers at the point of sale, e.g. in convenience stores because consumers prefer to purchase a chilled beverage, but would not usually be perceived as needing to be chilled.

Flavour mixes: No single flavour is perceived to be dominant e.g. tropical mixes, red fruits, summer fruits, cocktail mix, multi-fruits.

Still drinks: Flavoured ready to drink, non-carbonated products, which may be fruit or non-fruit flavoured and have a juice content of 0-24.9\%. Sugar, artificial flavouring and colouring may be added.

FJN: Fruit juice and nectars
Notes: Totals may not add due to rounding.
Blank/0.0 denotes data not available or volume less than 0.05 million litres or zero.
$\mathrm{E}=$ estimate
FJN: Fruit juice and nectars

## About AIJN

AIJN is the representative association of the fruit juice industry in the EU. It represents the industry from the fruit processors to the packers of the consumer products. AlJN's foundation goes back to 1958. The AIJN office is situated in the heart of the Brussels European quarter.

## Key AlJN Aims and Objectives

1. Represent the interests and promote the image of the European Fruit Juice Industry in all its contact with EU Institutions and other relevant organisations and stakeholders;
2. Support and lobby European Union Institutions on all aspects of policy and legislation affecting the production, marketing, sales and trade in fruit juices and nectars in the EU;
3. Defend and promote fruit juices as nutritious products that are an integral part of a healthy diet, through the AIJN Juice Campaign;
4. Encourage and support, fruit juice companies to integrate corporate social responsibility in all stages of their supply chain, through the Fruit Juice CSR Platform;
5. Provide, in collaboration with the European Quality Control System, mechanisms and instruments securing safe and authentic goods to maintain and increase consumer confidence in the good and healthy image of fruit and vegetable juices and nectars;
6. Inform and advise the fruit juice industry on all aspects of European legislation likely to affect their businesses;
7. Liaise with national, regional and worldwide interests representing the fruit juice industry to foster and achieve overall AlJN objectives.

## Membership

The AIJN membership is composed of national fruit juice associations from 17 EU Member States and from two countries that applied for EU membership. For more information on membership benefits, please visit www.aijn.org

## AIJN Executive Board

President, José Jordão, Strategic director, Sumol+Compal SA, Portugal; 1st Vice-President, Wolfgang Schwald, General Manager Fruit, Processing and Sales, Rauch Fruchtsäfte GmbH \& Co OG, Austria; 2nd Vice-President, Marjan Skotnicki-Hoogland, Managing Director, FrieslandCampina Riedel B.V., Netherlands

## Members:

Thomas Mertens, Managing Director Supply Chain, Wesergold Getränkeindustrie GmbH \& Co. KG, Germany; Piotr Podoba, CEO, Döhler Sp. z o.o., Poland; Bruno Van Gompel, Technical Director, Coca-Cola Western Europe, Belgium; Helmuth Brandstaetter, CEO Zipperle AG, Italy; Douglas Lamont, Chief Executive Officer, Innocent drinks, United Kingdom; Emmanuel Manichon, General Manager, Eckes-Granini, France; Javier Lorenzo, Eckes-Granini Ibérica, Spain; Peter Mulrine, Chairman, Mulrines, Ireland; Juan Ignacio Amat, General Manager, PepsiCo, Switzerland

## National Associations -

## Full and Affiliated Members of AIJN

Austria: Verband der Österreichischen Fruchtsaft und Fruchtsirupindustrie Belgium: AJUNEC
Bulgaria: Bulgarian Soft Drinks Association (BSDA)
Cyprus: KEAN
Denmark: Danish Fruit Juice and Jam Industries
Finland: Juice and Preserves Industries' Association
France: Union Nationale des Producteurs de Jus de Fruits (UNIJUS)
Germany: Verband der Deutschen Fruchtsaft-Industrie e.V. (VDF)
Greece: Biofresh SA
Ireland: Irish Beverage Council
Italy: A.I.I.P.A., Conserve Italia Scarl, Federvini
Netherlands: FWS
Poland: Polish Association of Juice Producers (KUPS)
Portugal: Sumol + Compal SA
Spain: ASOZUMOS
Sweden: Swedish Juice Association
UK: British Soft Drinks Association Ltd
Serbia: Serbian Fruit Juice Producers Association (SFJPA)
Turkey: Turkish Fruit Juice Industry Association (MEYED)

In addition, there is a long list of Observer members that are national associations from non-European countries, individual companies who are suppliers of raw materials, packaging materials, equipment, ingredients or processing aids to the EU fruit and vegetable juices industry and/or to associations representing these suppliers.



